arXiv:1701.00429v1 [math.SG] 2 Jan 2017

Fukaya categories in Koszul duality theory

Satoshi Sugiyama*

3rd January 2017

Abstract

In this paper, we define A_∞-Koszul duals for directed A_∞-categories in terms of twists in their A_∞-derived categories. Then, we compute a concrete formula of A_∞-Koszul duals for path algebras with directed A_n-type Gabriel quivers. To compute an A_∞-Koszul dual of such an algebra A, we construct a directed subcategory of a Fukaya category which are A_∞-derived equivalent to the category of A-modules and compute Dehn twists as twists. The formula unveils all the ext groups of simple modules of the parh algebras and their higher composition structures.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Algebraic preliminaries **4**
2.1 Basic definitions and properties of A_∞-categories 4
2.2 Directed A_∞-categories and A_∞-Koszul duals 5
2.3 A_∞-Koszul duals and twists 7

3 Geometric preliminaries **9**
3.1 Definition of the Fukaya categories 9
3.2 Algebraic twists versus geometric twists 12

4 Main results **12**
4.1 Computation of A_∞-Koszul duals 12
4.2 Combinatorial setup 13
4.3 A_∞-Koszul duals of path algebras 14
4.4 Combinatorial lemmas 16

5 Construction of Riemann surfaces and Lagrangian branes **17**
5.1 Lemmas for construction 18
5.2 Construction (1) 20
5.3 Construction (2) 23

*sugi3@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

6 Directed Fukaya categories for Riemann diagrams **26**

7 Computation of Dehn twists **26**
7.1 Choice of representataives 27
7.2 Intersections 32
7.3 Core of S^{Φ} 38
7.4 Determination of degree 40
7.5 Counting discs 40
7.6 Some examples 43

1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to give a new expression of A_∞-Koszul duals of certain path algebras with relations (Theorem 4.5). We use the technique of the Fukaya categories and Dehn twists to compute A_∞-Koszul duals. Our approach does not contain anything new in the standpoint of the abstract theory of Koszul duality. However, we show that the technique of the Fukaya categories can be used for a concrete computation of an algebraic problem. Moreover, our description computed via the Fukaya categories provides a new way of understanding of Koszul duality as a duality between higher products and relations.

The Fukaya categories are A_∞-categories associated to symplectic manifolds defined by using the technique of Floer theory [FOOO10], [Se08]. The Fukaya categories are mainly studied in the context of homological mirror symmetry [Ko94]. The concept of Fukaya categories emerges in the context of Koszul duality in the paper of A. J. Blumberg, R. L. Cohen, and C. Teleman [BCT09] and the paper of T. Etgü and Y. Lekili [EL16]. These papers state that End A_∞-algebras of two certain objects in some Fukaya categories are Koszul dual to each other. Therefore, they say that the Koszul duality patterns emerge in the context of Fukaya categories. In our paper, the direction is opposite. We use the Fukaya categories to compute A_∞-Koszul duals of path algebras with relations. Therefore we can say that Fukaya categories emerge in the context of Koszul duality theory.

Before we see the main theorem of this paper, let us review the fundamental results about Koszul duality in [Lö86]. (The results presented here is a simplified version.) Let $A_0 = k$ be a field, A_1 be a finite dimensional vector space and I be a subspace of $A_1 \otimes A_1$. Define $A \coloneqq T(A_1)/I$ as the quotient algebra of the tensor algebra of A_1 over $A_0 = k$. Then, we have $E \coloneqq \mathrm{Ext}_A(k,k) \cong T(A_1^*)/I^\perp$, where $(-)^*$ is the linear dual over k and $I^\perp \subset A_1^* \otimes A_1^*$ is the annihilating submodule of $I \subset A_1 \otimes A_1$ (we use the natural isomorphism between $A_1^* \otimes A_1^*$ and $(A_1 \otimes A_1)^*$). Let us fix an isomorphism between A_1 and A_1^*. Then, I and $I^\perp$ are mutually complemental. Hence, we can say that the products and relations interchange between A and E. By the above computation, $\mathrm{Ext}_E(k,k)$ is naturally isomorphic to A. This is what we call Koszul duality and we can say that Koszul duality is a duality between products and relations represented by the Yoneda Ext algebra. Moreover, certain derived categories of A and E are equivalent [BGS96]. (In that paper, the setting above is generalized to the case of that A_0 is a finite dimensional semi-simple algebra.)

Nowadays, many phenomena related to the Koszul duality are widely observed, for example, the Koszul duality for Koszul algebras [Pr70], [Lö86], [BGS96], its generalisation to augumented-A_∞ algebras [LPWZ04], a generalisation to Koszul operads [GK94], [Va07], [LV12], and its relation to the study of symplectic geometry [EL16] and mirror symmetry [AKO08].

In this paper, we are interested in the case that there exist higher degree (homogenous) relation, i.e. for the algebra $A = T(A_1)/I$ with $I(\not\subset A_1^{\otimes 2}) \subset \bigoplus_{d\geq 2} A_1^{\otimes d}$. In general, there is no easy description of E. Moreover, the ext algebra $\mathrm{Ext}_E(A_0, A_0)$ and A are no longer isomorphic. However, we can overcome this difficulty by referring the results in [LPWZ04]. They generalise the concept of Koszul dual to the augmented A_∞-algebras. After that, they prove that the twice dual is quasi-isomorphic to the original augmented A_∞-algebra and their derived categories are equivalent (under some finiteness condition). The above algebra A is an example of an augmented A_∞-algebra, so we have its dual. But the description is too complicated and we can not interpret the Koszul dual as the duality between products and relations.

In this paper, we define the notion of A_∞-Koszul dual for directed A_∞-categories (Definition 2.4) and present an explicit description of A_∞-Koszul dual of certain class of path algebras with relations (Theorem 4.5) which enable us to understand the Koszul duality as a duality between higher products and relations. The notion of A_∞-Koszul dual is a natural generalisation. This is supported by the following two corollaries: the A_∞-Koszul dual $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{B}$ is naturally quasi-isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}$ (Corollary 4.3); $\mathcal{A}$ and its Koszul dual $\mathcal{B}$ are A_∞-derived equivalent, i.e. $Tw\mathcal{A} \cong Tw\mathcal{B}$ (hence, in particular, they are derived equivalent , i.e. $D\mathcal{A} \cong D\mathcal{B}$) (Corollary 4.2).

The computation of the A_∞-Koszul dual takes place in the Fukaya categories of exact Riemann surfaces. The rough sketch of the computation is as follows. In general, the Koszul dual can be computed by the operation in the derived category called twist. First we "embed" our directed A_∞-category $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(R)$ into the Fukaya category $\mathcal{F} = Fuk(M)$ of an exact Riemann surface M constructed by using the data of relations of R. Seidel proved in [Se08] that the twists are "quasi-isomorphic" to the Dehn twists in the Fukaya category. Thus, we compute the Dehn twists of the objects which are lying in the image of the "embedding" $\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow Fuk(M)$. Finally, we investigate how the resulting curves intersect and encircle polygons to compute the morphism spaces and their higher compositions. After that, we find that there is a $(d+1)$-gon in M corresponding to a degree d relation, and the $(d+1)$-gon generates the d-th higher composition μ^d. This is our geometric explanation of the duality between higher products and relations. Some typical example is presented in Corollary 4.7 and Subsection 7.6.

Here, we fix some notations we often use. In this paper, k is a fixed field; all categories are of over k; all graded vector spaces are assumed to have the property that theie total dimensions are finite; for a graded vector space $V = (V^d)_{d\in\mathbb{Z}}$, $V[r] \coloneqq (V^{d+r})_{d\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is the r-th shift of V; all modules are always right modules; all manifolds are oriented; all the additional structures on manifolds are assumed to be compatible with their orientations; the character $\mathcal{F}$ always stands for the Fukaya category $Fuk(M)$ of M where M is "the" exact symplectic manifold we consider in each paragraph; if M has some subscripts like M_1 then $\mathcal{F}_1$ stands for the Fukaya category of M_1, unless otherwise stated.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we prepare the algebraic

notions and define the A_∞-Koszul dual. In section 3, we prepare the geometric notions, e.g. exact symplectic manifolds and their Fukaya categories. At the last part of the section, we present the key theorem proved by Seidel which states the equivalence of algebraic twists and Dehn twists. In section 4, we state the main theorem. In section 5 and 6, we construct exact Riemann surfaces whose Fukaya categories are the targets of the "embedding" from directed A_∞-categories. In section 7, we do the computation of A_∞-Koszul duals, i.e. the computation of Dehn twists. The computation and the formula of A_∞-Koszul duals are the main ingredients of this paper.

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank my supervisor Toshitake Kohno for giving me great advice and navigating me and this study to an appropriate direction. I also want to thank A. Ikeda for teaching me about Koszul duality theory and to F. Sanda, M. Kawasaki, T. Kuwagaki, J. Yoshida, and R. Sato for fruitful discussion. Finally, I am deeply greatful to my friend I. Hoshimiya, A. Kiriya, R. Shibuki, and Y. Todo for supporting me when I was in difficult situations.

This work was supported by the Program for Leading Graduate Schools, MEXT, Japan.

2 Algebraic preliminaries

In this section, we review the definitions of algebraic objects we use in this paper, and define the A_∞-Koszul dual, the key concept in this paper. For the notation of signs, we follow Seidel's notation in [Se08]. The definition of the Koszul dual for A_∞-algebras with some properties already exists [EL16], [LPWZ04]. Our construction is a generalisation to directed A_∞-categories.

2.1 Basic definitions and properties of A_∞-categories

Definition 2.1 (A_∞-category) *An A_∞-category $\mathcal{A}$, consists of the following data:*

1. *a set $Ob(\mathcal{A})$,*
2. *a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space $\hom_{\mathcal{A}}(X,Y) = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \hom^i_{\mathcal{A}}(X,Y)$ for each $X, Y \in Ob(\mathcal{A})$,*
3. *maps called higher composition maps*

$$\mu^d : hom_{\mathcal{A}}(X_{d-1}, X_d) \otimes \hom_{\mathcal{A}}(X_{d-2}, X_{d-1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \hom_{\mathcal{A}}(X_0, X_1) \to \hom_{\mathcal{A}}(X_0, X_d)[2-d],$$

for $d \geq 1$ and $X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_d \in Ob(\mathcal{A})$.

We impose that the μ's satisfy the A_∞-associativity relation:

$$\sum_{i,j,l} (-1)^{\bigstar_i} \mu^l(a_d, \ldots, a_{i+j+1}, \mu^j(a_{i+j}, \ldots, a_{i+1}), a_i, \ldots a_1) = 0$$

for $d \geq 1$, where $\bigstar_i = \sum_{1\leq l\leq i} (|a_l| - 1)$, $(|a_i| = deg(a_i))$.

Let us see the first few A_∞-relations. The A_∞-relation of $d = 1$ is $\mu^1(\mu^1(a_1)) = 0$ and $\deg(\mu^1) = 2 - 1 = 1$. Hence, $(\hom_{\mathcal{A}}(X_0, X_1), \mu^1)$ forms a cochain complex. The second case, the relation is $\mu^1(\mu^2(a_2, a_1)) + \mu^2(a_2, \mu^1(a_1)) - (-1)^{|a_1|}\mu^2(\mu^1(a_2), a_1) = 0$. When we write $da = (-1)^{|a|}\mu^1(a)$ and $a_2 \circ a_1 = (-1)^{|a_1|}\mu^2(a_2, a_1)$, the relation is written by $d(a_2 \circ a_1) = da_2 \circ a_1 + (-1)^{|a_2|}a_2 \circ da_1$. Thus, the second relation expresses the graded Leibniz' rule. If all the higher composition maps are zero, i.e. $\mu^d = 0$ for $d \geq 3$, then the A_∞-category is nothing but a dg category by the above d and $- \circ -$. Therefore, the notion of A_∞-categories is a generalisation of dg categories.

The third relation is somewhat complicated:

$$a_3 \circ (a_2 \circ a_1) - (a_3 \circ a_2) \circ a_1 = \pm d(\mu^3(a_3, a_2, a_1)) \pm \mu^3(da_3, a_2, a_1) + (\text{other two terms}).$$

In general, the right hand side does not vanish, so the composition defined by μ^2 is not associative. However, μ^3 forms a homotopy between $a_3 \circ (a_2 \circ a_1)$ and $(a_3 \circ a_2) \circ a_1$, hence μ^2 defines an associative composition on cohomology level. We define the *cohomology category* $H(\mathcal{A})$ by $Ob(H(\mathcal{A})) \coloneqq Ob(\mathcal{A})$, $\hom_{H(\mathcal{A})}(X_0, X_1) \coloneqq H(\hom_{\mathcal{A}}(X_0, X_1), \mu^1)$, and $[a_2] \circ [a_1] \coloneqq (-1)^{|a_1|}[a_2 \circ a_1]$. The resulting category $H(\mathcal{A})$ has an associative composition. Thus, we say that μ^2 is homotopy associative. We also define $H^0(\mathcal{A})$ in the obvious way.

We don't assume that the A_∞-category admits identity morphisms, so $H(\mathcal{A})$ and $H^0(\mathcal{A})$ may not have identity morphisms. If $H(\mathcal{A})$ admits identity morphisms for each object, then we say that $\mathcal{A}$ is *cohomologically unital* or *c-unital*. In this paper, all the A_∞-categories are of c-unital unless otherwise stated. We say that two objects X_0 and X_1 in an A_∞-category are *quasi-isomorphic* if they are isomorphic in $H^0(\mathcal{A})$.

We do not present the definitions of A_∞-functors, quasi-equivalences, and quasi-isomorphisms of A_∞-categories here. These are generalisations in the case of dg categories. For precise definition and properties, please refer Section 1 and 2 in [Se08].

2.2 Directed A_∞-categories and A_∞-Koszul duals

In this paper, we mainly consider the directed A_∞-categories.

Definition 2.2 *An A_∞-category $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be directed when*

1. *the set $Ob(\mathcal{A})$ is finite,*
2. $\hom_{\mathcal{A}}(X, X) = k \cdot 1_X$, *and*
3. *there exists a total order on $Ob(\mathcal{A})$ such that the hom space $\hom_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) \neq 0$ only when $X \leq Y$.*

For a totally ordered finite set A, we have a canonical isomorphism $A \cong \{0 < 2 < \cdots < n\}$. Therefore we write the objects of an directed A_∞-category as $0 < 1 < \cdots < n$, $X_0 < X_1 < \cdots < X_n$, and so on.

Definition 2.3 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an A_∞-category and $\boldsymbol{Y} = (Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n)$ be a collection of objects in $\mathcal{A}$. Then, we define the associated directed subcategory $\mathcal{A}^{\to}(\boldsymbol{Y})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ by setting $Ob(\mathcal{A}) = \{Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n\}$,*

$$\hom_{\mathcal{A}^{\to}(\boldsymbol{Y})}(Y_i, Y_j) = \begin{cases} \hom_{\mathcal{A}}(Y_i, Y_j) & (i < j) \\ k \cdot e_i & (i = j) \\ 0 & (i > j), \end{cases}$$

and μ's of $\mathcal{A}^{\to}(\boldsymbol{Y})$ are canonically induced from those of $\mathcal{A}$.

Now, we begin the definition of A_∞-Koszul duals. For an A_∞-category $\mathcal{A}$, we call an A_∞-functor $\mathcal{M}$ from $\mathcal{A}^{op}$ to $C(k) \coloneqq C^b_{dg}(k)$ a (right) A_∞-module, where $\mathcal{A}^{op}$ is the opposite A_∞-category of $\mathcal{A}$ and $C^b_{dg}(k)$ is the dg category of bounded cochain complexes of finite dimensional k vector spaces considered as an A_∞-category. It is known that such A_∞-modules form a triangulated dg category $\mathcal{Q} \coloneqq mod(\mathcal{A})$. (Note that all the hom spaces of this category are finite dimensional iff $\#Ob(\mathcal{A}) < \infty$.) Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a directed A_∞-category with its object set $\{0 < 1 < \cdots < n\}$. We define an A_∞-module $\mathcal{S}(j)$ for $j \in Ob(\mathcal{A})$ determined by the data

$$\mathcal{S}(j)(i) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

and call it a simple $\mathcal{A}$-module corresponds to j, where we consider k as a one-dimensional cochain complex concentrated in the degree zero part. Then, it is known that the full sub A_∞-category $\mathcal{A}^!_\infty$ of $\mathcal{Q}$ with object set $\{\mathcal{S}(n) < \mathcal{S}(n-1) < \cdots < \mathcal{S}(0)\}$ forms a directed A_∞-category. Hence, $\mathcal{A}^!_\infty$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}^{\to}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}})$, where $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} = (\mathcal{S}(n), \mathcal{S}(n-1), \ldots, \mathcal{S}(0))$ is a collection of objects in $\mathcal{Q}$. The details can be found in (5j) and (5o) in [Se08].

Definition 2.4 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a directed A_∞-category with object set $\{0 < 1 < \cdots < n\}$. A directed A_∞-category $\mathcal{B}$ quasi-isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}^!_\infty$ is called an A_∞-Koszul dual of $\mathcal{A}$.*

Remark 2.5 The above definition is an analogy or a category version of the definition in [BGS96]. In that paper, they deal with Koszul ring A and give a different definition of its Koszul dual $A^!$. However, Theorem 2.10.1 in that paper states that $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_A(k,k) \cong (A^!)^{\mathrm{opp}}$ canonically. Even though there exist many different notations, we can translate from one to the other.

Also, our definition is an analogy of the definition in [LPWZ04]. In that paper, they define Koszul dual $E(A)$ (in their notation) for Adams connected A_∞-algebra A by $E(A) \coloneqq \mathrm{RHom}_{A^\circ}(k,k)$. The right hand side of the definition is a straightforward generalisation of the definition in [BGS96], hence the definitions in that paper and in our paper shares the common origin.

In this paper, we treat with A_∞-categories, not A_∞-algebras and we focus on the very special case, directed A_∞-categories.

Example 2.6 Let $R = k(\overrightarrow{\Delta}, \rho)$ be a path algebra with relations over a finite directed quiver $\overrightarrow{\Delta}$. Here, a finite quiver is a quiver with a finite set of vertices (which we write Δ_0) and a finite set of arrows (which we write Δ_1); a directed quiver is a quiver without oriented cycles. We can see R as an A_∞-category $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(R)$ by setting $Ob(\mathcal{A}) = \Delta_0$, $\hom^0_{\mathcal{A}}(i,j) = e_j A e_i$, $\hom^d_{\mathcal{A}}(i,j) = 0$ for $d \neq 0$, μ^2 is induced from the product structure of A, and $\mu^d = 0$ for $d \neq 2$. (We write the product of two paths α from i to j and β from j to l as $\beta\alpha$ later on.) Now, the dimension $\dim_k R$ as a k vector space is finite since its quiver $\overrightarrow{\Delta}$ has no oriented cycles. Thus, we can deduce that $mod(\mathcal{A})$ and $C(R) \coloneqq C^b_{dg}(R)$ are naturally isomorphic as triangulated dg categories, where $C^b_{dg}(R)$ is the dg category of finitely generated R-modules. (Recall that a functor from $\mathcal{A}(R)^{op}$ (considered as k-linear category) to the category of finite dimensional k vector spaces $vect(k)$ can be naturally considered as a right R-module.) The natural isomorphism maps $\mathcal{S}(j)$ in $mod(\mathcal{A})$ for $j \in \Delta_0$ into the simple module $S(j)$ in $C^b_{dg}(R)$ corresponds to $j \in \Delta_0$. Set a graded algebra $R^!_{dg} \coloneqq \hom^*_{C^b_{dg}(R)}\left(\bigoplus \widetilde{S}^\bullet(j), \bigoplus \widetilde{S}^\bullet(j)\right)$, where $\widetilde{S}^\bullet(j)$ is a projective resolution of $S(j)$ and the direct sum is taken over Δ_0 . We call it the *dg Koszul dual* of R. Then we can compute $\mathcal{A}(R)^!_\infty$ by $Ob(\mathcal{A}(R)^!_\infty) = \Delta_0$, $\hom^d_{\mathcal{A}(R)^!_\infty}(i,j) = \hom^d_{C^b_{dg}(R)}\left(\bigoplus \widetilde{S}^\bullet(i), \bigoplus \widetilde{S}^\bullet(j)\right)$, and μ's are induced from the differential d and the product structure $- \cdot -$ of $R^!_{dg}$.

If our algebra R is Koszul, equivalently, the relations are of quadratic, then the cohomology algebra $H(R^!_{dg})$ is nothing but the Koszul dual $R^!$ of R. Hence, the dg Koszul dual $R^!_{dg}$ is a generalisation of the Koszul dual to general path algebras over finite directed quivers. The dg Koszul dual $R^!_{dg}$ can be reconstructed from $\mathcal{A}(R)^!_\infty$ by $R^!_{dg} = \bigoplus_{i,j\in\Delta_0} \hom_{\mathcal{A}(R)^!_{dg}}(\mathcal{S}(i), \mathcal{S}(j)) = \hom_{mod(\mathcal{A}(R)^!_\infty)}\left(\bigoplus \mathcal{S}(i), \bigoplus \mathcal{S}(j)\right)$, where the last two direct sums are taken over Δ_0.

We finish this subsection by collecting some useful lemmas from [Se08].

Lemma 2.7 ((5n) in [Se08]) *Let $\mathcal{F}\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be a cohomologically full and faithful (c-full and faithful in short) A_∞-functor and $\boldsymbol{Y} = (Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n)$ be a collection of objects in $\mathcal{A}$. Then, there exists a canonical quasi-isomorphism between $\mathcal{A}^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{Y})$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}\boldsymbol{Y})$, where $\mathcal{F}\boldsymbol{Y} = (\mathcal{F}Y_1, \mathcal{F}Y_2, \ldots \mathcal{F}Y_n)$.*

Lemma 2.8 (Lemma 5.21 in [Se08]) *Let $\boldsymbol{Y}$ and $\boldsymbol{Y}'$ be collections of objects in $\mathcal{A}$ and these objects are pairwise quasi-isomorphic, i.e. $Y_j \cong Y'_j$ in $H^0(\mathcal{A})$ for every j. Then, the associated directed subcategories $\mathcal{A}^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{Y})$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{Y}')$ are quasi-isomorphic.*

2.3 A_∞-Koszul duals and twists

In this subsection, we develop the method to compute an A_∞-Koszul dual of a given directed A_∞-category $\mathcal{A}$. All the details and precise definitions can be found in Chapter I of [Se08].

First, we fix some notations. For an A_∞-category $\mathcal{A}$, we define the category of $\mathcal{A}$-modules $\mathcal{Q} \coloneqq mod(\mathcal{A}) = fun(\mathcal{A}^{op}, C(k))$. For such categories, we can define the

Yoneda embedding functor $\iota\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{Q}$, by setting $(\iota X)(Y) = \hom_{\mathcal{A}}(Y, X)$. We set the triangulated A_∞-category $Tw\mathcal{A}$ by the full subcategory generated as triangulated A_∞-category by the objects which are lying in the image of the Yoneda embedding $\iota(Ob(\mathcal{A}))$. Now, we have three embeddings of A_∞-categories, $\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow Tw\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q} = mod(\mathcal{A})$. These three embeddings are known to be c-full and faithful.

For $X \in Ob(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{M} \in Ob(\mathcal{Q})$, we can define the *twist of* $\mathcal{M}$ *along* X , which is wtritten by $\mathcal{T}_X\mathcal{M}$, by the mapping cone of the evaluation morphism $\iota X \otimes \hom_{\mathcal{Q}}(\iota X, \mathcal{M}) \to \mathcal{M}$. This is a generalisation of the case when $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(R)$ as in Example 2.6. If there exists $Z \in Ob(\mathcal{A})$ such that ιZ and $\mathcal{T}_X(\iota Y)$ are quasi-isomorphic, we write $Z = T_X Y$ and call it a *twist of* Y *along* X. This is a fact that $Tw\mathcal{A}$ is closed under twist. There are two remarks on the notion of twists. The first one is that such a Z may not be unique. Therefore whenever we write $T_X Y$, we choose one of such objects. The second one is that when we write $T_X Y$, we always assume the existence of the representative of $\mathcal{T}_X \iota Y$. Finally, the following holds:

Lemma 2.9 (Lemma 5.24. in [Se08]) *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a directed A_∞-category with object set $\{X_0 < X_1 < \cdots < X_n\}$, and set $\mathcal{S}'(j) \coloneqq T_{X_0} T_{X_1} \cdots T_{X_{j-1}} X_j \in Ob(Tw\mathcal{A}) \hookrightarrow Ob(\mathcal{Q})$. Then the resulting object $\mathcal{S}'(j)$ is quasi-isomorphic (in $\mathcal{Q}$) to the simple module $\mathcal{S}(X_j)$.*

This lemma is a generalisation of the case that the category $\mathcal{A}$ is a directed A_∞-category $\mathcal{A}(R)$ associated with a path algebra with relations R over a finite directed quiver. By this lemma, we can compute an A_∞-Koszul dual by iteration of twists. We abbreviate $\mathcal{S}'(j)$ into $\mathcal{S}(j)$. Together with the definition of $\mathcal{A}^!_\infty$ for directed A_∞-category $\mathcal{A}$, one has a natural isomorphism between $\mathcal{A}^!_\infty$ and $(Tw\mathcal{A})^{\to}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}})$, where $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} = (\mathcal{S}(n), \mathcal{S}(n-1), \ldots, \mathcal{S}(0))$.

We finish this section by recalling useful lemmas.

Lemma 2.10 (Lemma 5.6 in [Se08]) *Suppose $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be a c-full and faithful A_∞-functor and these Y_0 and Y_1 be objects in $\mathcal{A}$. Then, there exists a canonical isomorphism in $H^0(\mathcal{B})$ between $\mathcal{F}(T_{Y_0} Y_1)$ and $T_{\mathcal{F}(Y_0)}\mathcal{F}(Y_1)$.*

Lemma 2.11 (Lemma 5.11 in [Se08]) *Suppose that Y_0 is a spherical object in $\mathcal{A}$. Then, T_{Y_0} is a quasi-equivalence from $\mathcal{A}$ to itself.*

Corollary 2.12 *Let Y_0 and Y_1 be objects in $\mathcal{A}$ and Y_0 is spherical. Then, for any object $Z \in Ob(\mathcal{A})$, there exists a natural quasi-isomorphism between $T_{T_{Y_0} Y_1} Z$ and $T_{Y_0} T_{Y_1} T^{-1}_{Y_0} Z$.*

Here, the definition of a spherical objects can be found in (5h) in [Se08].

For a collection of objects $\boldsymbol{Y} = (Y_0, Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$ in an A_∞-category $\mathcal{A}$, we define a new collection $\mathcal{L}_j \boldsymbol{Y} \coloneqq (Y_0, \ldots, , Y_{j-1}, T_{Y_j} Y_{j+1}, Y_j, Y_{j+2}, \ldots, Y_n)$ in $\mathcal{A}$ and call it a *mutation* of $\boldsymbol{Y}$.

Lemma 2.13 (Lemma 5.23 in [Se08]) *Let $\boldsymbol{Y} = (Y_0, Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$ be a collection of spherical objects in an A_∞-category $\mathcal{A}$ and define $\boldsymbol{U} \coloneqq \mathcal{L}_j \boldsymbol{Y}$. Then there is a quasi-equivalence between $Tw(\mathcal{A}^{\to}(\boldsymbol{Y}))$ and $Tw(\mathcal{A}^{\to}(\boldsymbol{U}))$. In particular, there is a equivalence of derived categories between $D(\mathcal{A}^{\to}(\boldsymbol{Y}))$ and $D(\mathcal{A}^{\to}(\boldsymbol{U}))$ as triangulated categories.*

Lemma 2.14 *Let $\boldsymbol{Y} = (Y_0, Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$ be a collection of spherical objects in an A_∞-category $\mathcal{A}$ and define $\boldsymbol{U} \coloneqq \mathcal{L}_j\boldsymbol{Y}$. Let us write Y_i as an object in $\mathcal{A}^{\rightarrow} \coloneqq \mathcal{A}^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{Y})$ as $\widetilde{Y}_i$, the collection of them as $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{Y}} = (\widetilde{Y}_1, \widetilde{Y}_2, \ldots, \widetilde{Y}_n)$, and the mutation as $\widetilde{U} \coloneqq \mathcal{L}_j\widetilde{\boldsymbol{Y}}$ (the twist takes place in $\mathcal{A}^{\rightarrow}$, not in $\mathcal{A}$). Then, there exists a quasi-isomorphism between $\mathcal{A}^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{U})$ and $(\mathcal{A}^{\rightarrow})^{\rightarrow}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{U}})$.*

Conceptually, this lemma says that for spherical objects the twist in $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\rightarrow}$ are equivalent in the above sense. This lemma is proved in the proof of Lemma 5.23 in [Se08].

3 Geometric preliminaries

In this section, we prepare the notation of the Fukaya categories of exact Riemann surfaces and discuss the twists in the Fukaya categories.

3.1 Definition of the Fukaya categories

The definition itself can be found in [Se08] and its combinatorial description which we mainly use can also be found in [Su16]. However, we repeat the relevant parts of those papers for the sake of completeness.

An *exact symplectic manifold* $M = (M, \omega, \theta, J)$ consists of a symplectic manifold with non-empty boundary (M, ω), a primitive θ of ω, i.e. θ is an 1-form satisfying $d\theta = \omega$, and an ω-compatible almost complex structure J. We impose that the negative Liouville vector field X_θ, defined by $\omega(-, X_\theta) = \theta(-)$, points strictly inward on the boundary ∂M.

Now, we see the definition of the Fukaya category $\mathcal{F} = Fuk(M)$ of a given exact Riemann surface M. In fact, we only use the Fukaya category $\mathcal{F}$ of the form $\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{L})$ for some collection of objects $\boldsymbol{L}$ in this paper, hence what we really need to define is as follows: the set of objects $Ob(\mathcal{F})$, the hom spaces $\hom_{\mathcal{F}}(L_0^\#, L_1^\#)$ for two distinct objects $L_0^\#, L_1^\# \in Ob(\mathcal{F})$, and the higher composition maps $\mu^d \colon \hom_{\mathcal{F}}(L_{d-1}^\#, L_d^\#) \otimes \hom_{\mathcal{F}}(L_{d-2}^\#, L_{d-1}^\#) \otimes \cdots \otimes \hom_{\mathcal{F}}(L_0^\#, L_1^\#) \to \hom_{\mathcal{F}}(L_0^\#, L_d^\#)$ for mutually distinct objects.

To define the objects of the Fukaya category $\mathcal{F} = Fuk(M)$ of an exact Riemann surface M, we fix a trivialization of TM as a complex line bundle (this is possible since M possesses non-empty boundary). Thanks to the complex structure J, we can identify the trivialization with a non-vanishing vector field X. Let $L \cong S^1 \hookrightarrow \mathring{M}$ be a Lagrangian submanifold. We say that L is *exact* when $\int_L \theta = 0$. Let $\eta \colon [0,1] \to M$ be a compositon $[0,1] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} = S^1 \hookrightarrow M$ representing L. We choose a function $\widetilde{\alpha} \colon [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\dfrac{d\eta}{dt}(t) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \cdot (e^{\pi i \widetilde{\alpha}(t)} X_{\eta(t)}) \subset T_{\eta(t)}M$ holds. Set $w(L) \coloneqq \widetilde{\alpha}(1) - \widetilde{\alpha}(0)$ and call it the *writhe* of L. We say that L is *unobstructed* if $w(L) = 0$. For an exact unobstructed Lagrangian submanifold L, we define its *grading* $\alpha \colon L \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\alpha(\eta(t)) = \widetilde{\alpha}(t)$. We call a triple $L^\# = (L, \alpha, p)$ of an unobstructed Lagrangian submanifold L, its grading α, and arbitrary point $p \in L$ a *Lagrangian brane*. Here, we call the third component of the Lagrangian brane p a *switching point*. Finally, we define the set of objects $Ob(\mathcal{F})$ of $\mathcal{F}$ by the set of all Lagrangian branes.

Note that a grading α of a Lagrangian brane $L^\#$ defines a new orientation of L by $(p \mapsto e^{\pi i \alpha(p)} X_p) \in \Gamma(TL)$. We call it the *brane orientation*. We can see that a function $\alpha[n](p) \coloneqq \alpha(p) - n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is another grading of L. We call it the *n-fold shift* of α

Remark 3.1 There are few differences in the definition of objects of Fukaya categories between Seidel [Se08] and this paper. In Seidel's definition, one uses a quadratic volume form η_M^2 which is a section of $(\wedge^{top} T^*M)^{\otimes 2}$ (where the tensor product is taken over $\mathbb{C}$) while we use a non-vanishing vector field X. The relation of these two is given by $\eta_M^2(X \otimes X) = 1$. Then, our grading α is nothing but a grading $\alpha^\#$ of Seidel's sense. The relevant constructions are very simplified from Seidel's notation in this paper since we only treat with exact Riemann surfaces (while Seidel considered exact symplectic manifolds of any dimension).

A Lagrangian brane in Seidel's sense is a triple $L^\# = (L, \alpha^\#, P^\#)$, here L and $\alpha^\#$ are the same with our definition but $P^\#$ is a Pin structure of L. In the definition of the Fukaya categories, the Pin structures are used for the determination of the orientation of the moduli spaces. Hence they are used for the determination of the sign of the higher composition maps μ's. To determine the orientation of the moduli spaces, Seidel uses a real line bundle β associated to the Pin structure $P^\#$. In the case of exact Riemann surfaces, the Pin structure $P^\#$ must be non-trivial in order to achieve Theorem 3.5 so we assume that. Therefore the real line bundle β in our paper is always the non-trivial one.

Corresponding to that, a fixed point $p \in L$ is used as follows. Since our real line bundle β is not trivial, we can not trivialize β on whole L but we can on $L \setminus \{p\}$. With this trivialization, we can consider that the orientation of β changes when we go through the point p. This is the meaning of p. The choice of a point p does not cause the difference of objects, i.e. two Lagrangian branes $L_0^\# = (L, \alpha, p_0)$ and $L_1^\# = (L, \alpha, p_1)$ are quasi-isomorphic in $\mathcal{F}$. In the comparison with the definition by Seidel, we fix a trivialization of a real line bundle β instead of fixing of the Pin structure, so we have as "S^1 times many" objects as Seidel's definition.

Next, we define the hom set from $L_0^\# = (L_0, \alpha_0, p_0)$ to $L_1^\# = (L_1, \alpha_1, p_1)$. From now on, we assume that any collection of Lagrangian branes is in general position unless otherwise stated, i.e. any two submanifolds intersect transversally, there is no triple point, and the switching point of one Lagrangian brane never contained in the other Lagrangian branes. In this assumption, the hom set is defined by $\hom_{\mathcal{F}}(L_0^\#, L_1^\#) \coloneqq \bigoplus_{p \in L_0 \cap L_1} k \cdot [p]$ as a vector space. Here, $[p]$ is a formal symbol corresponds to p. We sometimes abbreviate $[p]$ into p. For an intersection point $p \in L_0 \cap L_1$ as a morphism from $L_0^\#$ to $L_1^\#$, we define its index by $i(p) = [\alpha_1(p) - \alpha_0(p)] + 1$ and set $\hom_{\mathcal{F}}^d(L_0^\#, L_1^\#) \coloneqq \bigoplus_{\substack{p \in L_0 \cap L_1, \\ i(p) = d}} k \cdot [p]$.

Finally, we define the A_∞-structure μ's. This is just a repetition but we only define the maps $\mu^d \colon \hom_{\mathcal{F}}(L_{d-1}^\#, L_d^\#) \otimes \hom_{\mathcal{F}}(L_{d-2}^\#, L_{d-1}^\#) \otimes \cdots \otimes \hom_{\mathcal{F}}(L_0^\#, L_1^\#) \to \hom_{\mathcal{F}}(L_0^\#, L_d^\#)$ under the condition that $L_i \pitchfork L_j$ and $p_i \notin L_j$ for $i \neq j$.

Let Δ^{d+1} be a $(d+1)$-gon. We name its vertices $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$ counterclockwise, the vertices connecting v_{j-1} and v_j by $[v_j, v_{j+1}]$ $(0 \leq j < d)$, and the vertex connecting v_0

and v_d by $[v_d, v_0]$. We define a set $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{d+1}(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_d; y_0)$ for $y_j \in L_{j-1} \cap L_j$ $(0 < j \leq d)$ and $y_0 \in L_0 \cap L_d$ by the set of all orientation preserving immersions $u\colon \Delta^{d+1} \to M$ satisfying that $u(v_j) = y_j$ for $0 \leq j \leq d$, $u([v_j, v_{j+1}]) \subset L_j$ for $0 \leq j < d$, and $u([v_d, v_0]) \subset L_d$. There exists a natural action of the group of diffeomorphisms of Δ^{d+1} fixing all vertices pointwise and the orientation. Let us write the quotient space by $\mathcal{M}^{d+1}(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_d; y_0)$ and call it a moduli space. This moduli space is a set of $(d+1)$-gon as in Figure 1.



Figure 1: (d+1)-gon in M

It is known that this moduli space is empty unless $i(y_0) = i(y_1) + i(y_2) + \cdots + i(y_d) + (2 - d)$. If it is not empty, then it is a finite set. For more detail, please refer Remark 3.22 in [Su16] or Section 17 in [Se08].

For an element $u \in \mathcal{M}^{d+1}(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_d; y_0)$, we define its sign $(-1)^{s(u)} \in \{\pm 1\}$ as follows. First, we assign ± 1 to vertices. For a vertex y_j of $u(\Delta^{d+1})$ with $0 < j \leq d$, we assign -1 to y_j if the orientation of L_j induced from ∂u and its brane orientation are opposite and $i(y_j)$ is odd. Otherwise, we assign $+1$ to it. We assign -1 to y_0 if the orientation of L_d induced from ∂u and its brane orientation are opposite and $i(y_0)$ is odd. Otherwise, we assign $+1$ to it. For each edge, $u([v_j, v_{j+1}])$ or $u([v_d, v_0])$, we assign -1 to it if it contains one of $\{p_j\}$. Otherwise, we assign $+1$ to it. Now $(-1)^{s(u)}$ is the product of all the ± 1 above. Finally, we define the map μ^d by

$$\mu^d(y_d, y_{d-1}, \ldots, y_1) \coloneqq \sum_{\substack{y_0 \in L_0 \cap L_d \\ u \in \mathcal{M}^{d+1}(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_d; y_0)}} (-1)^{s(u)} y_0.$$

It is known that this defines A_∞-structure:

Lemma 3.2 *Let $L_0^\#, L_1^\#, \ldots, L_d^\#$ be Lagrangian branes in general position. For points $y_j \in L_{j-1} \cap L_j$ $(0 < j \leq d)$, we have the A_∞-relation:*

$$\sum_{i,j,l} (-1)^{\bigstar_i} \mu^l(y_d, \ldots, y_{i+j+1}, \mu^j(y_{i+j}, \ldots, y_{i+1}), y_i, \ldots y_1) = 0.$$

We don't prove this lemma. For the proof, please refer Theorem 3.25 in [Su16] or Section 12 and 13 in [Se08].

Remark 3.3 As we said, we don't define the hom set $\hom_{\mathcal{F}}(L_0^\#, L_1^\#)$ when two underlying spaces don't intersect transversally, especially the case when $L_0^\# = L_1^\#$. Also, we don't define $\mu^d\colon \hom_{\mathcal{F}}(L_{d-1}^\#, L_d^\#) \otimes \hom_{\mathcal{F}}(L_{d-2}^\#, L_{d-1}^\#) \otimes \cdots \otimes \hom_{\mathcal{F}}(L_0^\#, L_1^\#) \to \hom_{\mathcal{F}}(L_0^\#, L_d^\#)$ when the objects are not in general position, especially in the case that there exists $i \neq j$ such that $L_i^\# = L_j^\#$. In these cases, we have to perturb the Lagrangian branes by Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms and the definition itself becomes more complicated. We can find the detail, in Chapter II of [Se08] and many relevant papers and books for this topic.

Remark 3.4 Any object in $\mathcal{F} = Fuk(M)$ for an exact Riemann surface M is spherical. This is because the underlying space of any object is diffeomorphic to the one dimensional sphere S^1 and some properties of Floer cohomology groups, for example, the PSS isomorphism.

3.2 Algebraic twists versus geometric twists

Let $V \cong S^1$ be an unobstructed exact Lagrangian submanifold of an exact Riemann surface M. Then the (right handed) Dehn twist τ_V can be lifted to a graded automorphism of M. (The concept of graded automorphisms appears in (12i) and the existence of the lift is proved in the argument in (16f) of [Se08].) Hence, for a Lagrangian brane $L^\#$, we can obtain a new Lagrangian brane $\tau_V L^\# = \tau_V(L^\#)$. If V is an underlying space of a Lagrangian brane $V^\#$, then we write the Dehn twist as $\tau_{V^\#}$.

Theorem 3.5 ((simplified version of) Theorem 17.16 in [Se08]) *Let $L_0^\#$ and $L_1^\#$ be two Lagrangian branes in an exact symplectic manifold M. Then, there exists an isomorphism between the Dehn twist $\tau_{L_0^\#} L_1^\#$ and the algebraic twist $T_{L_0^\#} L_1^\#$ in $H^0(TwFuk(M)) = DFuk(M)$.*

Remark 3.6 This theorem is essentially established in [Se01]. This is very fundamental and crucial to define the Fukaya-Seidel categories of exact Lefschetz fibrations which are studied with great attention in the context of homological mirror symmetry. See, for example, [HV00], [Se00] for the mirror of $\mathbb{P}^2$, and [AKO08].

4 Main results

In this section, we state the main theorem (Theorem 4.5). The proofs will be presented in Section 5, 6 and 7.

4.1 Computation of A_∞-Koszul duals

Theorem 4.1 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a directed A_∞-category with the object set $Ob(\mathcal{A}) = \{X_0 < X_1 < \cdots < X_n\}$. Suppose that there exist an exact Riemann surface M and a collec-*

tion of Lagrangian branes $\boldsymbol{L}^{\#} = (L_0^{\#}, L_1^{\#}, \ldots, L_n^{\#})$ *such that* $\mathcal{A}$ *and* $\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{L}^{\#})$ *are quasi-isomorphic. Then,* $\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{S}^{\#})$ *is an* A_∞*-Koszul dual of* $\mathcal{A}$*, where* $\boldsymbol{S}^{\#} = (S_n^{\#}, S_{n-1}^{\#}, \ldots, S_0^{\#})$ *is a collection of objects defined by the iteration of Dehn twists* $S_j^{\#} \coloneqq \tau_{L_0^{\#}}\tau_{L_1^{\#}}\cdots\tau_{L_{j-1}^{\#}}L_j^{\#}$.

Proof What we have to show is that $\mathcal{A}_\infty^!$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{S}^{\#})$ are quasi-isomorphic. First, $\mathcal{A}_\infty^!$ is naturally isomorphic to $(Tw\mathcal{A})^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}})$, where $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} = (\mathcal{S}(n), \mathcal{S}(n-1), \ldots, \mathcal{S}(0))$ is a collection of objects $\mathcal{S}(j) = T_{X_0}T_{X_1}\cdots T_{X_{j-i}}X_j$. By assumption, there exists a quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{G}\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{L}^{\#})$ and hence we have a quasi-isomorphism $Tw\mathcal{G}\colon Tw\mathcal{A} \to Tw(\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{L}^{\#}))$. Both functors send X_j to $L_j^{\#}$. By Lemma 2.10, $Tw\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{S}(j))$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\widetilde{S}_j^{\#} \coloneqq \widetilde{T}_{L_0^{\#}}\widetilde{T}_{L_1^{\#}}\cdots\widetilde{T}_{L_{j-1}^{\#}}L_j^{\#}$, where $\widetilde{T}$ represents the twist in $\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{L})$. Hence, $(Tw\mathcal{A})^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}})$ is quasi-isomorphic to $(Tw(\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{L}^{\#})))^{\rightarrow}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}^{\#})$ by Lemma 2.7, 2.8, and 2.10, where $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}^{\#} = (\widetilde{S}_n^{\#}, \widetilde{S}_{n-1}^{\#}, \ldots, \widetilde{S}_0^{\#})$.

Now, by the iterated application of Lemma 2.14 (and Lemma 2.7 and 2.10) and Theorem 3.5, $(Tw(\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{L}^{\#})))^{\rightarrow}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}^{\#})$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{S}^{\#})$. This completes the proof. □

Thanks to the above theorem, we can compute an A_∞-Koszul dual via the Fukaya categories and Dehn twists. As in Example 2.6, we can consider a path algebra R with relations over a finite directed quiver as a directed A_∞-categorgy $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(R)$. The main point of this paper is to compute its A_∞-Koszul dual by this technique.

The followings are corollaries of Lemma 2.13, Lemma 2.14, and Remark 3.4.

Corollary 4.2 *Let* $\mathcal{A}$ *and* $\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{S}^{\#})$ *be as in Theorem 4.1. Then, there exists a quasi-isomorphism between* $Tw\mathcal{A}$ *and* $Tw(\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{S}^{\#}))$*, hence there exists a equivalence of derived categories between* $D\mathcal{A}$ *and* $D(\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{S}^{\#}))$ *as triangulated categories.*

Corollary 4.3 *Let* $\mathcal{A}$ *and* $\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{S}^{\#})$ *be as in Theorem 4.1. Then,* $\mathcal{A}$ *is an* A_∞*-Koszul dual of* $\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{S}^{\#})$*.*

Proof Since $\tau_{S_j^{\#}}$ and $(\tau_{L_1^{\#}}\tau_{L_2^{\#}}\cdots\tau_{L_{j-1}^{\#}})\tau_{L_j^{\#}}(\tau_{L_1^{\#}}\tau_{L_2^{\#}}\cdots\tau_{L_{j-1}^{\#}})^{-1}$ are isotopic, we choose a representative of $\tau_{S_j^{\#}}$ so that these two coincide. Then, we have $I_j \coloneqq \tau_{S_n^{\#}}\tau_{S_{n-1}^{\#}}\cdots\tau_{S_{j+1}^{\#}}S_j^{\#} = \tau_{L_0^{\#}}\tau_{L_1^{\#}}\cdots\tau_{L_n^{\#}}L_j$. Hence, we have a canonical isomorphism between $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{L}^{\#})$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{I}^{\#})$, where $\boldsymbol{I}^{\#} = (I_1^{\#}, I_2^{\#}, \ldots, I_n^{\#})$.

□

4.2 Combinatorial setup

In this subsection, we prepare notations to describe A_∞-Koszul duals of path algebras with relations with its Gabriel quiver is the directed A_n-quiver $\overrightarrow{\Delta}_n$,

$$\underset{0}{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} \underset{1}{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} \underset{2}{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\alpha_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} \underset{n}{\bullet}.$$

Let R be a path algebra with relations $R = k\overrightarrow{\Delta}/(\rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots, \rho_m)$, where each relation $\rho_j = \alpha_{t_j}\alpha_{t_j-1}\cdots\alpha_{s_j+1}$ is a path from s_j to t_j for $s_j, t_j \in [0,n]_{\mathbb{Z}} \coloneqq \{0,1,\ldots,n\}$. We call s_j and t_j a source point and a target point of ρ_j respectively. We assume that the length of any relation is greater or equal to two, i.e. $t_j - s_j \geq 2$. We call ρ_j a relation corresponds to $[s_j, t_j]_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Now, we can assume that $[s_i, t_i]_{\mathbb{Z}} \not\subset [s_j, t_j]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ for $i \neq j$ and $s_1 < s_2 < \cdots < s_m$ (hence $t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_m$), so we assume them. We write $S = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots s_m\}$, $T = \{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_m\}$ and write $R = R_{S,T}$ to emphasise S and T. From now, we fix n, S, and T.

We define key items to describe an A_∞-Koszul dual of $\mathcal{A}_{S,T} \coloneqq \mathcal{A}(R_{S,T})$. First, we define a map $d\colon [0,n]_{\mathbb{Z}} \to [0,n]_{\mathbb{Z}} \sqcup \{-\infty\}$ by $d(p) = \max\{s_j \mid t_j \leq p\} = \max\{s \mid s < p, \hom_{\mathcal{A}_{S,T}}(s,p) = 0\}$. This is the nearest point s smaller than p such that $\hom_{\mathcal{A}_{S,T}}(s,p)$ vanishes. We define a finite decreasing sequence $\{a_i^{(p)}\}_{0\leq i\leq l_p}$ as follows. First, we set $a_0^{(0)} = 0$ and $l_0 = 0$. For $p \geq 1$, we define $a_0^{(p)} = p, a_1^{(p)} = p-1$. Suppose we have defined $a_q^{(p)}$ for $q < i$. If $d(a_{i-2}^{(p)}) \neq d(a_{i-1}^{(p)})$, then we set $a_i^{(p)} \coloneqq d(a_{i-2}^{(p)})$. If $d(a_{i-2}^{(p)}) = d(a_{i-1}^{(p)})$, then we set $l_p = i-1$ and finish the definition.

Lemma 4.4 *The sequences $\{a_i^{(p)}\}_{0\leq i\leq l_p}$ are strictly decreasing and non-negative, i.e. $0 \leq a_i^{(p)} < a_{i-1}^{(p)}$.*

Proof The inequality $a_i^{(p)} < a_{i-1}^{(p)}$ for $i = 1$ follows from the definition of the sequence. By definition of d, one can show $d(p) < p-1$ so we have the inequality for the case of $i = 2$. Now, we prove the inequality $a_i^{(p)} < a_{i-1}^{(p)}$ for $i \geq 3$ (in the case when $i \leq l_p$). Assume that $a_q^{(p)} < a_{q-1}^{(p)}$ holds for $q < i$. By definition, we have $a_i^{(p)} = d(a_{i-2}^{(p)})$ and $a_{i-1}^{(p)} = d(a_{i-3}^{(p)})$. Since d is non-decreasing, we have $a_i^{(p)} \leq a_{i-1}^{(p)}$. Moreover, we have $a_i^{(p)} \neq a_{i-1}^{(p)}$ since $i \leq l_p$. Thus we have $a_i^{(p)} < a_{i-1}^{(p)}$.

By definition, $a_i^{(p)}$ is an element in $d([0,n]_{\mathbb{Z}})$ such that there exists $b \in d([0,n]_{\mathbb{Z}})$ satisfying $b < a_i^{(p)}$. Therefore we have $a_i^{(p)} \neq -\infty$. □

4.3 A_∞-Koszul duals of path algebras

For n, S, T, we define a new directed A_∞-category $\mathcal{B}_{S,T}$ as follows. Define $Ob(\mathcal{B}) = \{B(n) < B(n-1) < \cdots < B(0)\}$, $\hom^i_{\mathcal{B}_{S,T}}(B(p), B(a_i^{(p)})) = k \cdot \eta_p^i$ (where η_p^i is a formal symbol), and other hom's are zero. Let us write $\eta_p^l = \tilde{\eta}_{p,a_l^{(p)}}$. Then, we have that $\tilde{\eta}_{p,q} \in \hom_{\mathcal{B}_{S,T}}(B(p), B(q))$. Finally, we define μ's as follows:

$$\mu^d(\tilde{\eta}_{j_{d-1},j_d}, \tilde{\eta}_{j_{d-2},j_{d-1}}, \cdots, \tilde{\eta}_{j_0,j_1}) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\left(\left|\tilde{\eta}_{j_{d-1},j_d}\right|+1\right)\left|\tilde{\eta}_{j_0,j_d}\right|}\tilde{\eta}_{j_0,j_d} & \text{(if it can be non-zero)} \\ 0 & \text{(otherwise).} \end{cases}$$

Here, "it can be non-zero" means that $\hom_{\mathcal{B}_{S,T}}(B(j_0), B(j_d))$ is non-zero and the relevant morphisms satisfy the degree condition $\left|\tilde{\eta}_{j_0,j_d}\right| = \left|\tilde{\eta}_{j_0,j_1}\right| + \left|\tilde{\eta}_{j_1,j_2}\right| + \cdots + \left|\tilde{\eta}_{j_{d-1},j_d}\right| + (2-d)$, where $|x|$ stands for the degree of x. Then, this defines a directed A_∞-category.

Now, the following theorem is the main theorem of this paper:

Theorem 4.5 *$\mathcal{B}_{S,T}$ is an A_∞-Koszul dual of $\mathcal{A}_{S,T}$.*

Corollary 4.6 *An A_∞-algebra $B_{S,T} \coloneqq \bigoplus_{i,j} \hom_{\mathcal{B}_{S,T}}(B(i), B(j))$ is quasi-isomorphic to $(R_{S,T})^!_{dg}$.*

The proof is given in the following sections. The outline is as follows: first, we find an exact Riemann surface M and a collection of Lagrangian branes $\boldsymbol{L}^\#$ such that $\mathcal{A}_{S,T}$ and $\mathcal{F}^\rightarrow(\boldsymbol{L}^\#)$ are quasi-isomorphic; next, we compute the Dehn twists and obtain an A_∞-Koszul dual as $\mathcal{F}^\rightarrow(\boldsymbol{S}^\#)$.

Now, we study the structure of our A_∞-Koszul dual $\mathcal{B}_{S,T}$ with some concrete examples. First, we study the case when $R = R_{S,T}$ is a quadratic algebra, i.e. all the relations are of the form $[i, i+2]_\mathbb{Z}$. By easy calculation, we can show that $\hom_\mathcal{B}(B(p), B(q))$ for $p \geq q$ is non-zero only when $\{q, q+1, \cdots, p-2\} \in S$. Moreover, when this is the case, the degree of the non-zero morphism is $p - q$. By the condition of degree, we can show that $\mu^d = 0$ except for $d = 2$. Finally, we can conclude that $\mathcal{B}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}((R_{S^c,T^c})^{op})$, where $S^c \coloneqq \{0, 1, \ldots, n-2\} \setminus S$ and $T^c \coloneqq \{2, 3, \ldots, n\} \setminus T$.

For example, if $\xi_j(\neq 0) \in \hom_\mathcal{A}(j, j+1)$ and $\xi_{j+1}(\neq 0) \in \hom_\mathcal{A}(j+1, j+2)$ satisfy that $\mu^2(\xi_{j+1}, \xi_j) = \mu^2_\mathcal{A}(\xi_{j+1}, \xi_j) \neq 0$, then we have $\mu^2(\tilde{\eta}_{j,j+1}, \tilde{\eta}_{j+1,j+2}) = \mu^2_\mathcal{B}(\tilde{\eta}_{j,j+1}, \tilde{\eta}_{j+1,j+2}) = 0$. Conversely, if $\xi_j(\neq 0) \in \hom_\mathcal{A}(j, j+1)$ and $\xi_{j+1}(\neq 0) \in \hom_\mathcal{A}(j+1, j+2)$ satisfy that $\mu^2(\xi_{j+1}, \xi_j) = \mu^2_\mathcal{A}(\xi_{j+1}, \xi_j) = 0$, then we have $\mu^2(\tilde{\eta}_{j,j+1}, \tilde{\eta}_{j+1,j+2}) = \mu^2_\mathcal{B}(\tilde{\eta}_{j,j+1}, \tilde{\eta}_{j+1,j+2}) \neq 0$. Thus, we can observe that the products and relations of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are "reversed" as we have already saw.

Next, we see the case that $n = 3$ and we have only one relation corresponding to $[0, 3]_\mathbb{Z}$. The algebra $R = R_{S,T}$ is no longer quadratic. For this algebra, the duality emerges as the following form. In this case, the formula defines $\mathcal{B}$ as follows: hom spaces are all zero but $\hom^0_\mathcal{B}(B(j), B(j)) = k \cdot \tilde{\eta}_{j,j}$, $\hom^1_\mathcal{B}(B(j+1), B(j)) = k \cdot \tilde{\eta}_{j,j+1}$, $\hom^2_\mathcal{B}(B(3), B(0)) = \tilde{\eta}_{0,3}$; μ's are all zero but $\mu^3(\tilde{\eta}_{0,1}, \tilde{\eta}_{1,2}, \tilde{\eta}_{2,3}) = \tilde{\eta}_{0,3}$. This is nothing but the duality between product and relation. This phenomenon cannot be captured in the dg settings because the dg-structure lacks the structure of higher composition maps.

Let us see the general cases of $R_{S,T}$. We can show $l_p \geq 2 \Leftrightarrow p \in T$ and when this is the case, there exists a relation corresponding to $[a_2^{(p)}, p]_\mathbb{Z}$ (we show this later but this is not so hard). We can see that the relation corresponds to $[s_j, t_j]_\mathbb{Z}$ in $R_{S,T}$ emerges in the structure of $\mathcal{B}$ as the degree two morphism $\tilde{\eta}_{s_j,t_j}$ with nontrivial higher composition $\mu^{t_j - s_j}$.

These are the typical examples:

Corollary 4.7 *Define $S_{n,k}$ and $T_{n,k}$ for $n > k$ by $S_{n,k} = \{0, 1, \cdots, n-k\}$ and $\{k, k+1, \cdots, n\}$. Let us write $A_{n,k} \coloneqq A_{S_{n,k},T_{n,k}}$, $\mathcal{A}_{n,k} \coloneqq \mathcal{A}_{S_{n,k},T_{n,k}}$, and $\mathcal{B}_{n,k} \coloneqq \mathcal{B}_{S_{n,k},T_{n,k}}$. Then, we have the following:*

1. *For $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}$, we have*

$$\hom^d_{\mathcal{B}_{n,k}}(B(p), B(q)) = \begin{cases} k \cdot \tilde{\eta}_{p,q} & (d \geq 0 \text{ is even and } p - q = kl \text{ for } d = 2l) \\ k \cdot \tilde{\eta}_{p,q} & (d \geq 0 \text{ is odd and } p - q = kl + 1 \text{ for } d = 2l+1) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases}$$

and $\mu^k(\eta_p^1, \eta_{p-1}^1, \ldots, \eta_{p-k+1}^1) = \eta_p^2 \colon S_p^\# \to S_{p-k}^\#[2]$. *(There are many other collections of morphisms with non-vanishing higher compositions, but we omit to write.)*

2. *Especially, for* $n = k$, *our category* $\mathcal{B}_n \coloneqq \mathcal{B}_{n,n}$ *is described as follows:* $Ob(\mathcal{B}_n) = \{B(n) > B(n-1) > \cdots > B(0)\}$,

$$\hom^d_{\mathcal{B}_{n,k}}(B(p), B(q)) = \begin{cases} k \cdot \eta_p^0 & (d = 0, p = q) \\ k \cdot \eta_p^1 & (d = 1, p - q = 1) \\ k \cdot \eta_n^2 & (d = 2, p = n, q = 0) \\ 0 & (\textit{otherwise}), \end{cases}$$

and μ*'s are all zero but* μ^2 *with identity morphisms and* $\mu^n(\eta_n^1, \eta_{n-1}^1, \ldots, \eta_1^1) = \eta_n^2 \colon S_n^\# \to S_0^\#[2]$.

It is remarkable that the whole information of relations of $R_{S,T}$ can be recovered (by hand) by the morphisms of $\mathcal{B}$ with degree less than or equal to two and relevant higher compositions. Thus, there emerges a natural question.

Problem 4.8 *Find the properties of directed* A_∞*-categories that determines* $\mathcal{B}$ *from its objects, morphisms with degree less than or equals to 2, and* μ*'s between such a morphisms.*

4.4 Combinatorial lemmas

We prepare two lemmas which are used in the geometric computations in Section7.

First, we define $d^\dagger \coloneqq [0,n]_\mathbb{Z} \to [0,n]_\mathbb{Z} \sqcup \{\infty\}$ to be the dual of d by $d^\dagger(p) = \min\{t_j \mid s_j \geq p\} = \min\{s \mid s > p \text{ and } \hom_{\mathcal{A}}(p,s) = 0\}$, where $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{S,T} = \mathcal{A}(R_{S,T})$. Next, we define the sequence $\{a_j^{(p)\dagger}\}_{0 \leq j \leq l_p^\dagger}$ by replacing d by $d^\dagger$ and setting $a_1^{(p)\dagger} = p + 1$ in the definition of $\{a_j^{(p)}\}_{0 \leq j \leq l_p}$.

Lemma 4.9 (Inversion formula) *The sequences satisfy the following inversion formula:* $a_j^{(a_j^{(p)\dagger})\dagger} = p$ *and* $a_j^{(a_j^{(p)\dagger})} = p$ *for* $0 \leq j \leq l_p$ *and* $0 \leq j \leq l_p^\dagger$ *respectively.*

Proof First, we prove the former formula. We write $q = a_j^{(p)}$. Since the statement for the case of $j = 0, 1$ is trivial, we consider the case $j \geq 2$ (so we are assuming that $l_p \geq j (\geq 2)$). By the definition, there exists $t \in T$ such that $a_{i+1}^{(p)} < t \leq a_i^{(p)}$ for $0 \leq i \leq j-2$ since $d(a_{i+1}^{(p)}) \neq d(a_i^{(p)})$. For each i, we write the max of such t's as t_i, i.e. $t_i \in T$, $a_{i+1}^{(p)} < t_i \leq a_i^{(p)}$, and there is no element $t \in T$ such that $t_i < t \leq a_i^{(p)}$.

Claim 4.10 $l_q^\dagger \geq j$ *and* $a_{j-l+1}^{(p)} < a_l^{(q)\dagger} \leq t_{j-l}$ *for* $2 \leq l \leq j$.

We prove $l_q^\dagger \geq l$ and the above inequality by induction on l. Let us consider the case of $l = 2$. The inequality $l_q^\dagger \geq 2$ holds because of $q = d(a_{j-2}^{(p)})$. Also, the second inequality holds since $a_{j-1}^{(p)} < t_{j-2} = d^\dagger(q) = a_2^{(q)\dagger}$.

Next, we consider the case of $l = 3$. Since $a_j^{(p)} < a_{j-1}^{(p)}$, we have $a_1^{(q)\dagger} = q+1 \le a_{j-1}^{(p)}$. Since $a_{j-1}^{(p)} < a_{j-2}^{(p)}$ and $a_{j-1}^{(p)} = d(a_{j-3}^{(p)})$, there exists $s \in S$ such that $a_1^{(q)\dagger} \le s < a_2^{(q)\dagger}$ (one example of such an s is $a_{j-1}^{(p)}$). Thus, we have $l_q^\dagger \ge 3$. Since $d^\dagger$ is non-decreasing, we have $a_3^{(q)\dagger} = d^\dagger(a_1^{(q)\dagger}) \le d^\dagger(a_{j-1}^{(p)}) = t_{j-3}$. By the definition, there exists a relation corresponds to $[c, a_3^{(q)\dagger}]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that $c \in S$ is the smallest element in S greater than or equals to $a_1^{(q)\dagger} = q+1$. Together with $a_3^{(q)\dagger} \le t_{j-3}$, we have $q < c \le d(t_{j-3}) = a_{j-1}^{(p)} (\in S)$. Thus, we have $t_{j-2} < a_3^{(q)\dagger} \le t_{j-3}$. Now, since t_{j-2} is the largest element in T less than or equals to $a_{j-2}^{(p)}$, so we have $a_{j-2}^{(p)} < a_3^{(q)\dagger} \le t_{j-3}$.

Let us assume that $l_q^\dagger \ge s$ and the latter inequality in the Claim is true for $l \le s$ for some s with $3 \le s < j$. Then, we have the following inequality:

$$a_{j-s+2}^{(p)} < a_{s-1}^{(q)\dagger} \le t_{j-s+1} \le a_{j-s+1}^{(p)} < a_s^{(q)\dagger} \le t_{j-s} \le a_{j-s}^{(p)} < t_{j-(s+1)}.$$

(Here, first and second inequality follows from the case of $l = s-1$, third, sixth, and seventh inequality follows from the definition of t's, fourth and fifth inequality follows from the case of $l = s$.) Since $a_{j-s+1}^{(p)} \in S$ lies in $[a_{s-1}^{(q)\dagger}, a_s^{(q)\dagger} - 1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$, we have $l_q^\dagger \ge s+1$. Now, the inequality $a_{j-s}^{(p)} < a_{s+1}^{(q)\dagger} \le t_{j-(s+1)}$ follows from applying $d^\dagger$ on $a_{j-s+2}^{(p)} < a_{s-1}^{(q)\dagger} \le a_{j-s+1}^{(p)}$ and the maximality of t_{j-s}. This completes the proof of Claim 4.10.

By substituting $l = j$ into the inequality of Claim 4.10, we have that $p-1 = a_1^{(p)} < a_j^{(q)\dagger} \le a_0^{(p)} = p$. Thus we have $a_j^{(q)\dagger} = p$.

The latter formula of the Lemma 4.9 can be proven by the argument obtained by interchanging symbols with † and without †. □

Lemma 4.11 $d(d^\dagger(p) - 1) \le p - 1$.

Proof We can write $d^\dagger(p) = t_j$ with $j = \min\{i \mid p \le s_i\}$. If $d(d^\dagger(p)-1) \ge p$, there exists j' such that $p \le s_{j'} < t_{j'} \le d^\dagger(p) - 1$. Since $t_{j'} \le d^\dagger(p) - 1 < t_j$, we have $s_{j'} < s_j$. However, this contradicts with the minimality of j. Thus we have the conclusion.

□

5 Construction of Riemann surfaces and Lagrangian branes

Our goal in this section is to construct an exact Riemann surface M and a collection of Lagrangian branes $\boldsymbol{L}^\# = (L_1^\#, L_2^\#, \ldots, L_n^\#)$ such that $\mathcal{A}_{S,T}$ and $\mathcal{F}^\rightarrow(\boldsymbol{L}^\#)$ are isomorphic.

We use many small positive ε's. We assume that they all are small enough. We change such ε's smaller if necessary without any notification to avoid unnecessary complexity and confusion.

5.1 Lemmas for construction

First, we prepare some notations. Let M be a two-dimensional manifold with non-empty boundary. A *two-tailed Lagrangian submanifold* $L^{\pm} = (L, \gamma^+, \gamma^-)$ is a triple of a one-dimensional submanifold L diffeomorphic to S^1 and tails $\gamma^{\pm}$. Here, these tails are embeddings $\gamma^{\pm}\colon [0,1] \to M$ such that $\gamma^{\pm}(0) \in L$, $\gamma^{\pm}(1) \in \partial M$, $\gamma^{\pm}((0,1)) \cap (L \cup \partial M) = \varnothing$, $\gamma^{\pm} \pitchfork L$ at $\gamma^{\pm}(0)$, the orientations of γ^+ and L defines positive orientation of M at $\gamma^+(0)$, and the other pair γ^- and L defines negative orientation of M at $\gamma^-(0)$. A collection of two-tailed Lagrangian submanifolds $\boldsymbol{L}^{\pm} = (L_1^{\pm}, L_2^{\pm}, \dots, L_n^{\pm})$ is called *compatible* when $\gamma_i^{\pm}([0,1]) \cap \gamma_j^{\pm}([0,1]) = \varnothing$ and $\gamma_i^{\pm}([0,1]) \cap L_j = \varnothing$ for $i \neq j$.

Lemma 5.1 *For a compatible collection of two-tailed Lagrangian submanifolds $\boldsymbol{L}^{\pm}$, there exists an exact symplectic structure (ω, θ, J) on M such that the underlying Lagrangian submanifolds of $\boldsymbol{L}^{\pm}$ become exact Lagrangian submanifolds.*

Proof First, we take an arbitrary exact symplectic structure (ω_0, θ_0, J). We write the boundary as $\partial M = \bigsqcup S_j$ and fix their collar neighbourhoods $\iota_j\colon S^1 \times [0, \varepsilon_1) \hookrightarrow M$. We can assume that $\iota_j^* \omega_0 = d\varphi \wedge dx$ and $\iota_j^* \theta_0 = (B_j - x) d\varphi$ where φ and x is the natural coordinate of $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and $[0, \varepsilon_1)$ respectively and $B_j = \int_{S_j} \theta_0$ (relevant argument can be found in the proof of Lemma 5.4 in [Su16]).

With this setting, we change ω_0 and θ_0 as follows. First, let us assume $E_1 \coloneqq \int_{L_1} \theta_0 < 0$. Choose a function $h\colon (-\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that h is compactly supported and $\int_{-\varepsilon_2}^{\varepsilon_2} h(t) dt = 1$. Construct a new manifold with exact symplectic form $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{\omega_0}, \widetilde{\theta_0})$ as follows. The new manifold $\widetilde{M}$ is constructed as a gluing of $V_1 \coloneqq \{(\phi, x) \in (-\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2) \times \mathbb{R} \mid E_1 h(\phi) \leq x < \varepsilon_1 \}$ and M. Here, the gluing identifies $(0,0) \in V_1$ with $\gamma_1^+(1)$ and identifies other points naturally with respect to the tublar neighbourhood. See Figure 2.



Figure 2: $\widetilde{M}$ and $\widetilde{L}$'s

We set $\widetilde{\omega_0}|_{V_1} = d\phi \wedge dx$ and $\widetilde{\theta_0} = (B_1 - x)d\phi$. Then, the negative Liouville vector field can be written as $X_\theta = (B_1 - x)\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x}$ hence it points strictly inwards on $\partial\widetilde{M} \cap V_1$.

Next, we set submanifolds $\widetilde{L}_j$ in $\widetilde{M}$ to be the image of the natural embedding $\iota\colon M \hookrightarrow \widetilde{M}$ for $j \geq 2$. For the case of $\widetilde{L}_1$, we define it as in Figure 2 such that $\widetilde{L}_1$ is a deformation of $\iota(L_1)$ supported on very small region aroung $\iota(\gamma^+(0))$ and V_1 so that the deformation does not cerate new intersection points of $\widetilde{L}$'s and $\int_{\widetilde{L}_1} \widetilde{\theta_0} = 0$.

Now, there is a diffeomorphism $f\colon M \to \widetilde{M}$ such that f coincides with the embedding away from small neighbourhood of the region surrounded by $\iota(L_1)$ and $\widetilde{L}_1$ and $f(L_j) = \widetilde{L}_j$. We set $\omega_1 = f^*\widetilde{\omega_0}$ and $\theta_1 = \widetilde{\theta_0}$. Then we can show that $(M, \omega_1, \theta_1, J)$ is an exact Riemann surface, $\int_{L_1} \theta_1 = 0$, and $\int_{L_j} \theta_1 = \int_{L_j} \theta_0$ for $j \geq 2$. Even when $E_1 = \int_{L_1} \theta_0 > 0$, we can find such ω_1 and θ_1 by almost the same construction which involves γ_1^-. We iterate this construction and we can obtain the desired exact symplectic structure. □

Lemma 5.2 *Let M be an exact Riemann surface and $\boldsymbol{L} = (L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_n)$ be a collection of exact Lagrangian submanifolds. Suppose that $[L_1], [L_2], \ldots, [L_n] \in H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ are linearly independent and $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})/([L_1], [L_2], \ldots, [L_n])$ does not have torsion other than two-torsion, then TM admits a trivialization $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ such that all the Lagrangian submanifolds L_j's are unobstructed.*

Proof Let us consider an exact Lefschetz fibtration $\pi\colon E \to D$ in the sense of [Se08] with vanishing cycles $L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_n$ with a suitable distinguish basis of vanishing paths. Here, the taeget space D is the unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$. Then, the total space E has the homotopy type of a two-dimensional CW-complex which is obtained by attaching n disks to M along the vanishing cycles [Ka80]. By the computation of the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence, the assumptions on homology classes of L_j's induce that $H^2(E; \mathbb{Z}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\oplus p}$ for some p. Hence, we have $2c_1(E) = 0$.

Since the two-fold first Chern class $2c_1(E)$ vanishes, the total space E admits relative quadratic volume form $\eta_{E/D}^2$ as in the discussion in (15c) of [Se08]. Hence, when we use the induced trivialization, all vanishing cycles are unobstructed by the discussion in (16f) of [Se08]. □

When a compatible collection of two-tailed Lagrangian submanifolds satisfies the homological condition in Lemma 5.2, we call such a collection a *perfect* collection of two-tailed Lagrangian submanifolds. For a perfect collection of two-tailed Lagrangian submanifolds $\boldsymbol{L}^\pm = (L_1^\pm, \ldots, L_n^\pm)$, where $L_j^\pm = (L_j, \gamma_j^+, \gamma_j^-)$, we can construct an exact symplectic structure and brane structures of each submanifold of $\boldsymbol{L}^\pm$, namely $L_j^\# = (L_j, \alpha_j, p_j)$, by the above lemmas. We call the tuple $L_j^\maltese = (L_j, \gamma_j^+, \gamma_j^-, \alpha_j, p_j)$ a two-tailed Lagrangian brane. The resulting two-tailed Lagrangian branes form a collection of two-tailed Lagrangian branes $\boldsymbol{L}^\maltese$. We define a directed A_∞-category $\mathcal{F}^\rightarrow(\boldsymbol{L}^\maltese)$ by $\mathcal{F}^\rightarrow(\boldsymbol{L}^\maltese) := \mathcal{F}^\rightarrow(\boldsymbol{L}^\#)$.

5.2 Construction (1)

In this and the next subsection, we construct an exact symplectic manifold M and a collection of two-tailed Lagrangian branes $\boldsymbol{L}^{\maltese} = (L_0^{\maltese}, L_1^{\maltese}, \dots L_n^{\maltese})$ so that $\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{L}^{\maltese})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_{S,T}$. In this subsection, we construct them for the case that $S = T = \varnothing$ as a prototype of all the construction.

Thanks to the previous two lemmas, what we have to construct is reduced to a two-dimensional manifold M with non-empty boundary, a perfect collection of two-tailed Lagrangian submanifolds $\boldsymbol{L}^{\pm}$, and brane structures on the underlying spaces of the two-tailed Lagrangian submanifolds.

We set $C_j \coloneqq S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ for $0 \le j \le n$ and define M_0 by the plumbing (and smoothing) of $D_j \coloneqq C_j \times [-\varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_3]$. Namely, let φ_j and x_j be the natural coordinate of C_j and $[-\varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_3]$. Our plumbing is defined to identify two points $(\varphi_{j+1}, x_{j+1}) \in \{(\varphi_{j+1}, x_{j+1}) \,|\, -\varepsilon_3 < \varphi_{j+1} < \varepsilon_3\} \subset D_{j+1}$ and $\left(\frac{1}{2} + x_{j+1}, -\varphi_{j+1}\right) \in D_j$ for every $0 \le j < n$.

Fix smooth (right handed) Dehn twists τ_{C_j} along C_j supported in D_j. We assume that $\tau_{C_j}|_{C_j}$ is the antipodal map. We define submanifolds by $L'_j \coloneqq \tau_{C_1}^{-1}\tau_{C_2}^{-1}\cdots\tau_{C_{j-1}}^{-1} C_j$. Then, all these submanifolds pass through $(0,0) \in D_0 \subset M_0$ and there is no other intersection point. We deform them to avoid $(0,0)$ and pass through the left side of the point with respect to their orientation as in Figure 3 and obtain the resulting submanifolds $L_0, L_1, \dots, L_n$.



Figure 3: L''s and L's

Next, we give tails to L_j's such that they form a compatible collection of two-tailed Lagrangian submanifolds. Let us consider the following sequence of collections of submanifolds $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_j \coloneqq (C_1, C_2, \dots, C_j, \tau_{C_j^{-1}} C_{j+1}, \tau_{C_j}^{-1}\tau_{C_{j+1}}^{-1} C_{j+2}, \dots, \tau_{C_j}^{-1}\cdots\tau_{C_{n-1}}^{-1} C_n)$ for $1 \le j \le n$. Here, the two extreme cases are $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_n = (C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n)$ and $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1 = (L'_1, L'_2, \dots, L'_n)$. The collection $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_j$ is obtained by applying $\tau_{C_j}^{-1}$ on the latter $(n-j)$ submanifolds of $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{j+1}$. Now, the submanifolds in $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{j+1}$ around $(0,0) \in D_{j+1}$ is disposed as in the left half of Figure 4.



Figure 4: $D_j \cap D_{j+1}$

Next, we apply $\tau_{C_j}^{-1}$ to the appropriate submanifolds and obtain $\mathbf{\Gamma}_j$, the submanifolds are transformed as the right half of Figure 4. After that, we perform Dehn twists $\tau_{C_{j-1}}^{-1}, \tau_{C_{j-2}}^{-1}, \ldots, \tau_{C_1}^{-1}$ to obtain $\mathbf{\Gamma}_1$. Now the subset $D_j \cap D_{j+1}$ is away from the supports of the Dehn twists $\tau_{C_{j-1}}^{-1}, \tau_{C_{j-2}}^{-1}, \ldots, \tau_{C_1}^{-1}$. Since L_j coincides with L'_j on $\bigcup_l (D_l \cap D_{l+1})$, we can define the tails $\gamma_j^{\pm}$ as in Figure 4 for $j < n$. For the case of $\gamma_n^{\pm}$, we define them by $\gamma_n^{\pm}(t) \coloneqq \left(\frac{1}{2}, \mp\varepsilon_3 t\right)$. Finally, we have a compatible collection of two-tailed Lagrangian submanifolds $\boldsymbol{L}^{\pm} = (L_0^{\pm}, L_1^{\pm}, \ldots, L_n^{\pm})$. By definition, we can check that the underlying submanifolds represent a basis of $H_1(M;\mathbb{Z})$, so the collection is perfect. Thus, we can obtain an exact symplectic manifold M and a collection of two-tailed Lagrangian branes $\boldsymbol{L}^{\maltese} = (L_0^{\maltese}, L_1^{\maltese}, \ldots, L_n^{\maltese})$. Here we choose that the switching point $p_j \in L_j$ to be the root $\gamma_j^{\pm}(0)$.

Proposition 5.3 *$\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{L}^{\maltese})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_{\varnothing,\varnothing}$.*

Before we start the proof, we prepare a notation. Our two-tailed Lagrangian submanifold $L_j^{\pm}$ has the feature that $\gamma_j^+(0)$ and $\gamma_j^-(0)$ coincide. We call such a two-tailed Lagrangian subanifold just a *tailed Lagrangian submanifold* and call $\gamma_j^{\pm}(0)$ a *root* of $L_j^{\pm}$.

Lemma 5.4 *Let $\boldsymbol{L}^{\pm} = (L_0^{\pm}, L_1^{\pm}, \ldots, L_n^{\pm})$ be a perfect collection of tailed Lagrangian submanifolds in M. Then, an immersion $u\colon \Delta^{d+1} \to M$ passing through at least one root of $L_j^{\pm}$ does not appear in the moduli spaces.*

Proof Since any class in the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_d; y_0)$ can be represented by a holomorphic map $u\colon \Delta^{d+1} \to M$ under a suitable complex structure on Δ^{d+1}, we choose such a holomorphic representative u. Assume that u passes through a root $\gamma_j^{\pm}(0)$ for some j. Since u is an immersion, the image of u contains at least one of these two

points $\gamma_j^{\pm}(\varepsilon_4)$. Let us assume that $\gamma_j^{+}(\varepsilon_4)$ is the point. Then, $\gamma_j^{+}([0,1]) \cap u(\Delta^{d+1})$ is open in $\gamma_j^{+}([0,1])$ since $u(\partial\Delta^{d+1})$ is constrained in $\cup L_j$ and by the maximum value principle of holomorphic functions. Obviously, this set is closed and non-empty, thus we have $\gamma_j^{+}([0,1]) \cap u(\Delta^{d+1}) = \gamma_j^{+}([0,1])$ hence $\gamma_j^{+}(1) \in u(\Delta^{d+1})$. However, $\gamma_j^{+}(1) \in \partial M$ so this contradicts with the maximum value principle. □

For a perfect collection of tailed Lagrangian submanifolds $\boldsymbol{L}^{\pm}$, we write the connected component of $L_j \setminus (\bigcup_{i\neq j} L_i)$ contains the root $\gamma_j^{\pm}(0)$ as iL_j and call it the *irrelevant part*. Define $cL_j \coloneqq L_j \setminus iL_j$ and call it the *core* of L_j. By the above lemma, we can calculate the directed subcategory $\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{L}^{\#})$ by the information of the core $c\boldsymbol{L} \coloneqq (cL_0, cL_1, \dots cL_n)$.

Now, we are going to prove Proposition 5.3. By the construction, the core $c\boldsymbol{L}$ is as in Figure 3. First, any two of submanifolds intersect at one point. We write $p_{ij} \in L_i \cap L_j$ for $i < j$. The differential μ^1 is automatically zero because of the degree. We can choose the gradings of $L_j^{\#}$'s so that the degree $|p_{j\,j+1}|$ of the morphism is zero. Second, any three are in the position as in Figure 5 for $i < j < l$. We have $\mu^2(p_{jl}, p_{ij}) = p_{il}$. Moreover, we can conclude that $|p_{ij}| = 0$ for any $i < j$. Hence, μ^d for $d \geq 3$ are zero by the degree constraint. Thus we have an isomorphism between $\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{L}^{\maltese})$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\varnothing,\varnothing}$.



Figure 5: Three Lagrangians

Remark 5.5 By the above construction, we can show that $\tau_{L_{j-1}} L_j$ is homotopic to C_j so is $S_j = \tau_{L_1}\tau_{L_2}\cdots\tau_{L_{j-1}} L_j$. By easy observation, we can conclude that $\hom_{\mathcal{F}}(S_i^{\#}, S_j^{\#})$ is one-dimensional if $|i-j| = 1$ and zero if $|i-j| > 1$ when we choose suitable representatives of the result of Dehn twists. As we see later, the degree of the morphism $q^1_{j,j-1} \in \hom_{\mathcal{F}}(S_j^{\#}, S_{j-1}^{\#})$ is one. Therefore, we can conclude that the following directed A_∞-category $\mathcal{B}$ is an A_∞-Koszul dual of $\mathcal{A}_{\varnothing,\varnothing}$. Here, the directed A_∞-category $\mathcal{B}$ is defined by $Ob(\mathcal{B}) = \{B(n) > B(n-1) > \cdots > B(0)\}$, hom space is all zero but $\hom_{\mathcal{B}}(B(j), B(j)) = k \cdot \eta_j^0$, $\hom^1_{\mathcal{B}}(B(j), B(j-1)) = k \cdot \eta_j^1$, and μ's are all zero but μ^2 with identity morphisms. This coincides with the classical computation.

5.3 Construction (2)

In this subsection, we construct a perfect collection of tailed Lagrangian submanifolds $\boldsymbol{L}^{\pm}_{S,T}$ in a two-dimensional manifold $M_{S,T}$ for $S = \{s_1 < s_2 < \cdots < s_m\}$ and $T = \{t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_m\}$ from $\boldsymbol{L}^{\pm}$ and M in the previous section.

First, we call a surgery adding a genus as in Figure 6 a *bypassing*. We call the attached part a *bypass*. We identify the points in M irrelevant to a bypassing with the corresponding point in the result of the bypassing.



Figure 6: Bypassing

The construction is as follows. We write $M^{(0)} = M$ and $L^{(0)}_j \coloneqq L_j$. First, we construct a bypass B_1 to remove the intersecton points p_{ij} for $i \leq s_1$ and $j \geq t_1$. The bypass B_1 is located around $c\boldsymbol{L}$, and the bypass across the submanifolds $L^{(0)}_0, L^{(0)}_1, \ldots, L^{(0)}_{s_1}$ as in Figure 7. We define $L^{(1)}_j$ for $0 \leq j \leq s_1$ by setting $L^{(1)}_j$ is almost the same as $L^{(0)}_j$ but passes through under the bypass B_1. For $s_1 < j < t_1$, we define $L^{(1)}_j$ to be the same as $L^{(0)}_j$. For $j \geq t_1$, $L^{(1)}_j$ is defined to be a submanifold which is almost the same as $L^{(0)}_j$ but go across the bypass B_1. We simplify the diagram as in Figure 8.

We write the resulting ambient manifold $M^{(1)}$. By using the same tails, we have a perfect collection of tailed Lagrangian submanifolds $\boldsymbol{L}^{(1)\pm} = (L^{(1)\pm}_0, L^{(1)\pm}_1, \ldots, L^{(1)\pm}_n)$.

Proposition 5.6 *$(\mathcal{F}^{(1)})^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{L}^{(1)\maltese})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_{\{s_1\},\{t_1\}}$.*

Proof It is enough to consider around the core $c\boldsymbol{L}^{(1)}$ in Figure 8. Now, L_i for $i \leq s_1$ and L_j for $j \geq t_1$ no longer intersect so we can acheive an isomorphism between $\hom_{\mathcal{F}^{(1)}}(L^{(1)\maltese}_i, L^{(1)\maltese}_j)$ and $\hom_{\mathcal{A}_{\{s_1\},\{t_1\}}}(i, j)$ by shift of the grading of Lagrangian branes if necessary. The A_∞-structures can be computed as in the same technique in the proof of Proposition 5.3. Finally, we have the desired isomorphism. □

Next, we construct the second bypass B_2 and related materials as follows. We construct the bypass B_2 to be across the submanifolds $L^{(1)}_{s_1+1}, L^{(1)}_{s_1+2}, \ldots, L^{(1)}_{s_2}$. We define new



Figure 7: Bypassing 2

submanifolds $L_j^{(2)}$ as follows:

(i) for $0 \leq j < s_1$, $L_j^{(2)}$ is the same as $L_j^{(1)}$;

(ii) for $s_1 \leq j \leq s_2$, $L_j^{(2)}$ is almost the same but passes across under the bypass B_2;

(iii) for $s_2 < j < t_2$, $L_j^{(2)}$ is the same as $L_j^{(1)}$;

(iv) for $t_2 \leq j \leq n$, $L_j^{(2)}$ is almost the same but passes across the bypass B_2

as in Figure 9 (the figure illustrates the case $s_2 < t_1$). We name the resulting manifold $M^{(2)}$.

We iterate this process and obtain $M_{S,T} = M^{(m)}$ and $\boldsymbol{L}_{S,T}^{\pm} = \boldsymbol{L}^{(m)\pm}$. Moreover, by the same discussion in Proposition 5.6, we obtain the following proposition:

Proposition 5.7 *$(\mathcal{F}_{S,T})^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{L}_{S,T}^{\maltese})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_{S,T}$.*



Figure 8: Bypass diagram



Figure 9: Bypass diagram 2

6 Directed Fukaya categories for Riemann diagrams

In this section, we set up another construction of exact Riemann surfaces and Lagrangian branes. First of all, we consider a tuple $(D; l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n)$ where D is an compact oriented surface with non-empty boundary and l_j is an embedding $l_j \colon [0,1] \to D$ such that $l_j(0), l_j(1) \in \partial D$, $l_j \pitchfork \partial D$ at $l_j(0)$ and $l_j(1)$, and $2N$ points $l_1(0), l_2(0), \dots, l_n(1) \in \partial D$ are pairwise distinct. We call such a tuple $(D; l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n)$ a *Riemann diagram*.

For a Riemann diagram $D = (D; l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n)$ we define a new compact oriented surface $\widetilde{M}$ by attaching N one-handles $H_j = [0,1] \times [-\varepsilon_5, \varepsilon_5]$ and smoothing of the boundary. Here, j-th handle is attached so that $(t, 0) \in H_j$ and $l_j(t) \in \partial M$ are identified for $t = 0, 1$ and two distinct strips don't interfere each other. Next, we define a perfect collection of tailed Lagrangian submanifolds $\boldsymbol{L}^{\pm} = (L_1^{\pm}, L_2^{\pm}, \dots, L_n^{\pm})$ by smoothing of $l_j([0,1]) \cup [0,1] \times \{0\} (\subset H_j)$ and $\gamma_j^{\pm}(t) = (\frac{1}{2}, \pm\varepsilon_5 t) \in H_j \hookrightarrow \widetilde{M}$. (The homological condition in Lemma 5.2 automatically holds by the definition.)

Hence, we have an exact symplectic manifold and a collection of Lagrangian branes. We write them M_D and $\boldsymbol{L}_D^{\maltese}$. Finally, we set $\mathcal{F}_D^{\rightarrow} := (Fuk(M_D))^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{L}_D^{\maltese})$ and call it a *directed Fukaya category associated with a Riemann diagram D*.

Remark 6.1 Our previous construction can be reproduced when we choose a suitable closed neighbourhood $D_{S,T}$ of the core $c\boldsymbol{L}^{\pm}$ of our perfect collection of tailed Lagrangian submanifolds (and choose parametrizations of $l_j =: [0,1] \to L_j \cap D_{S,T}$ for $0 \le j \le n$) as in Figure 10.



Figure 10: $D_{S,T}$

7 Computation of Dehn twists

In this section, we compute an A_∞-Koszul dual by using Theorem 4.1. We fix n, S and T and omit the subscripts, i.e. we set $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{S,T}$, $M = M_{S,T}$, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{S,T} =$

$Fuk(M_{S,T})$, and $\boldsymbol{L}^{\maltese} = \boldsymbol{L}^{\maltese}_{S,T}$. What we have to do is the following: (i) compute $\boldsymbol{S}^{\#} = (S^{\#}_n, S^{\#}_{n-1} \dots, S^{\#}_0)$ where $S^{\#}_j \coloneqq \tau_{L^{\#}_0}\tau_{L^{\#}_1}\cdots\tau_{L^{\#}_{j-1}}L^{\#}_j$; (ii) compute $\hom_{\mathcal{F}}(S^{\#}_i, S^{\#}_j)$, i.e. study $S_i \cap S_j$ and the degree; (iii) determine μ's i.e. count polygons.

First, let us study the intersections of $S^{\#}_j$'s. However, we did not completely specify the underlying spaces of $S^{\#}_j$'s yet because the twists and Dehn twists are defined only up to quasi-isomorphisms and up to Hamiltonian isotopies respectively. But, by Lemma 2.8, we can change the representatives of quasi-isomorphism classes of such $S^{\#}_j$'s in the Fukaya category. Therefore, we can fix the convenient representatives in the following discussions. Some of the statements in this section must start with the declaration "with our choice of representatives" but we sometimes omit it for simplicity.

Before we begin the computation of Dehn twists, we assume one more condition: for a perfect collection of tailed Lagrangian submanifolds $\boldsymbol{L}^{\pm} = (L^{\pm}_0, L^{\pm}_1, \dots, L^{\pm}_n)$ in M, there exists a small closed neighbourhood $F^{\pm}_j$ of $\gamma^{\pm}([0,1])$ such that $F^{\square}_i \cap F^{\triangle}_j \neq \emptyset$ only when $\square = \triangle$ and $i = j$, $F^{\pm}_i \cap L_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, and $\int_{F^{\pm}_j}\omega$ is large enough. We can assume this by operating the surgeries in the proof of Lemma 5.1.

When this is the case, we can deform $L^{\maltese}_j$ freely away from $F^{+}_j \cup F^{-}_j$ under keeping the condition that $L^{\maltese}_j$ is tailed Lagrangian brane, by adjustment in $F^{\pm}_j$. Let us explain this. Suppose we deform L_j into $\widetilde{L_j}$ so that $L_j \cap F^{\pm}_j = \widetilde{L_j} \cap F^{\pm}_j$. In general, $\widetilde{L_j}$ may not satisfy $\int_{\widetilde{L_j}}\theta = 0$. By assumption, we can deform $\widetilde{L}$ into $\widetilde{\widetilde{L}}$ such that the deformation φ is supported in $F^{+}_j \cup F^{-}_j$ and $\widetilde{\widetilde{L}}$ satisfies $\int_{\widetilde{\widetilde{L}}}\theta = 0$. Let us consider replacing of the exact structure (ω, θ, J) into $(\varphi^*\omega, \varphi^*\theta, (\varphi_*)^{-1}J\varphi_*)$. With the new exact structure, $\widetilde{L}$ satisfy $\int_{\widetilde{L}}\varphi^*\theta = 0$. Moreover, by construction, $\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{L}^{\maltese})$, $\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\widetilde{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{L}}}^{\maltese})$, and $\mathcal{F}'^{\rightarrow}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{L}}^{\maltese})$ are isomorphic, where $\mathcal{F}' = Fuk(M, \varphi^*\omega, \varphi^*\theta, (\varphi_*)^{-1}J\varphi_*)$, $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{L}}^{\maltese}$ and $\widetilde{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{L}}}^{\maltese}$ are collection of Lagrangian branes which are obtained by replacing $L^{\maltese}_j$ into $\widetilde{L_j}^{\maltese}$ and $\widetilde{\widetilde{L_j}}^{\maltese}$ in $(M, \varphi^*\omega, \varphi^*\theta, (\varphi_*)^{-1}J\varphi_*)$ and (M, ω, θ, J) respectively. Therefore what we have is the following:

Lemma 7.1 *We use the same symbol as above. When we deform $\boldsymbol{L}$ into $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{L}}$, there exist an exact symplectic structure (ω', θ', J') on M and a collection of tailed Lagrangian brane structure of $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{L}}$ in $M' = (M, \omega', \theta', J')$ such that $\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{L}^{\maltese}) = \mathcal{F}'^{\rightarrow}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{L}}^{\maltese})$.*

We operate such deformations and replacements of the exact structure without noticing in the following discussion.

7.1 Choice of representataives

To see the general case, we again consider $M = M_{S,T}$ as $M_{D_{S,T}}$ as in Remark 6.1. First, we prepare some notations. We define a closed subset $F = F_{S,T}$ of $D = D_{S,T}$ by the union of $\bigcup_j L_j \cap D$ and triangles in D encircled by L_j's. By definition, F is contractible. Then, we choose a small closed neighbourhood K of F and fix it such that K is diffeomorphic to the unit disc and is contained in ε_6-neighbourhood of F. Figure

11 illustrates the situation. (The neighbourhood K in Figure 11 contains many corners for the sake of the simplification of the figure, but we consider that the actual K does not have such corners.)



Figure 11: $K \subset D$

We fix the orientations of l_j's as in Figure 10. The orientation of the forthcoming S^1's are induced by these orientations. We use the symbol $L_j^{(p)}$ again but another meaning as in subsection 5.3. We define ${L'}_j^{(p)}$ as follows:

$$ {L'}_j^{(p)} \coloneqq \begin{cases} \tau_{L_p}\tau_{L_{p+1}}\cdots\tau_{L_{j-1}}L_j & \text{if } j > p \\ L_j & \text{if } j \leq p \end{cases} $$

and we define $\boldsymbol{L}'^{(p)} \coloneqq ({L'}_0^{(p)}, {L'}_1^{(p)}, \ldots, {L'}_n^{(p)})$. Now we assume the following two conditions: the first is that the support of each Dehn twist is enough thin, i.e. $\mathrm{Supp}(\tau_{L_j}) \cap D \subset K$. Roughly speaking, this means that the "width" of the support is enough smaller than the "length of edges of the grid in $D = D_{S,T}$"(See Figure 11). The second is that $\tau_{L_p}L_p = L_p$ and $\tau_{L_p}(L_p \cap D) \cap D = \varnothing$.

A collection $\boldsymbol{N} = (N_0, N_1, \ldots, N_n)$ of one-dimensional submanifolds in M is said to have the *property* P_p when the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) for distinct $i, j \geq p$, the intersection $N_i \cap N_j$ does not contained in D;

(ii) for any $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ and $j < p$, the intersection $N_i \cap N_j$ is contained in K.

First of all, we prove the following lemma:

Lemma 7.2 *The collection $\boldsymbol{L}'^{(p)}$ has the property P_p.*

Proof We prove this by induction. First, for $p = n$, our collection $\boldsymbol{L}'^{(p)} = \boldsymbol{L}' = (L'_0, L'_1, \ldots, L'_n)$ has property P_n by the definition itself. Now, we prove the property

P_{p-1} for $\boldsymbol{L}'^{(p-1)}$ under the assumption that $\boldsymbol{L}'^{(p)}$ has the property P_p. Since the support $\mathrm{Supp}(\tau_{L_{p-1}})$ is enough thin, we can assume that $\mathrm{Supp}(\tau_{L_{p-1}}) \cap H_j = \emptyset$ for $j \geq p$ and $\mathrm{Supp}(\tau_{L_{p-1}}) \cap (L'^{(p)}_i \cap L'^{(p)}_j) = \emptyset$ for distinct $i, j < p-1$. Thus, we can deduce that the intersections $L'^{(p-1)}_i \cap L'^{(p-1)}_j$ for distinct $i, j \geq p$ remain in $M \setminus D$ and the intersections $L^{(p-1)}_i \cap L^{(p-1)}_j$ for distinct $i, j < p-1$ remain in K.

Now, we study the intersection of $L'^{(p-1)}_{p-1} \cap L'^{(p-1)}_i$. By the condition (ii) of property P_p, we have $L'^{(p)}_{p-1} \cap L'^{(p)}_i \in K \subset D$ for any $i \neq p-1$. By the assumption that $\tau_{L_{p-1}}(L_{p-1} \cap D) \cap D = \emptyset$, we have $L'^{(p-1)}_{p-1} \cap L'^{(p-1)}_i \in M \setminus D$. Thus we have proved the property P_{p-1} of $\boldsymbol{L}'^{(p-1)}$. □

Now we modify $\boldsymbol{L}'^{(p)}$ by isotopies. First, we set $\boldsymbol{L}^{(n)} = \boldsymbol{L}'^{(n)}$. Before we construct isotopy, we prove the following lemma:

Lemma 7.3 *$\boldsymbol{L}'^{(n-1)}$ can be a collection of underlying spaces of a perfect collection of tailed Lagrangian submanifolds.*

Proof For $j \neq n-1$, we can define tails $\gamma^{\pm}_j$ so that their image are in H_j since $L_i \cap H_j \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $i = j$. For $j = n-1$, we can define $\gamma^{\pm}_{j-1}$ as in Figure 12. □



Figure 12: $\boldsymbol{L}'^{(n-1)}$

Now we can deform $L'^{(n-1)}_n$ freely away from $\gamma^{\pm}_n$ as in the sense of the first discussion of this section. The first isotopy for $\boldsymbol{L}'^{(n-1)}$ is constructed as follows. By the assumptions, the connected component ξ of $L'^{(n-1)}_n \cap D = \tau_{L_{n-1}} L_n \cap D$ which lies in the left side of l_{n-1} in K has the shape that ξ comes from $l_n(0)$, go along l_n, turn right just before l_n reaches the $p_{n-1,n} \in L_{n-1} \cap L_n$, go along the left side (with respect to the orientation of l_{n-1}) of l_{n-1}, and finally go out from D at the left side of $l_{n-1}(0)$ as in Figure 13. We call such a path ξ whose endpoints are near $l_{n-1}(0)$ and $l_n(0)$ a *path of*

type $(n-1, n)$. (We always write the smaller one at the left and bigger one at the right independent of the orientation of the path.)



Figure 13: ξ and $\widetilde{\xi}$

We make some observations about the intersections of $\boldsymbol{L}'^{(n-1)}$. We can see that ξ intersects L_j for $d(n) < j < n-1$ twice. We deform ξ into $\widetilde{\xi}$ so that the pairs of intersections disappear as in Figure 13 and deform $L'^{(n-1)}_n$ into $L^{(n-1)}_n$ by $L^{(n-1)}_n = (L'^{(n-1)}_n \setminus \xi) \cup \widetilde{\xi}$. We call such a path $\widetilde{\xi}$ which come from a point near $l_n(0)$, go along l_{n-1}, turn right and go "between" $l_{d(n)}$ and $l_{d(n)+1}$, turn right and go along $l_{n-1}(0)$, and go out at a point near $l_{n-1}(0)$, a path of type $(n-1, n; d(n))$. Now, if $d(n-1) < d(n)$ then $L^{(n-1)}_n$ intersects with $L_{d(n)}$, and if $d(n-1) = d(n)$ then $L^{(n-1)}_n$ intersects only with L_{n-1}. Finally, we set $L^{(n-1)}_j = L'^{(n-1)}_j$ for $j \neq n$ and we have $\boldsymbol{L}^{(n-1)}$. The isotopy just reduces the intersections, so our collection $\boldsymbol{L}^{(n-1)}$ again has property P_{n-1}.

Moreover, $\boldsymbol{L}^{(n-1)}$ has the following properties:

(i) for any $i \neq j$, the number of intersection points of $L^{(n-1)}_i$ and $L^{(n-1)}_j$ is at most one;

(ii) the collection can be a collection of underlying spaces of a perfect collection of tailed Lagrangian submanifolds;

(iii) $L''^{(n-1)}_j \cap D \subset K$ for all j.

When a collection of submanifolds has the property P_p and the above property, we say that the collection has the *property* Q_p.

Next, we iterate the following procedure to construct $\boldsymbol{L}^{(p-1)}$ satisfying the property Q_{p-1} from a collection $\boldsymbol{L}^{(p)}$ satisfying the property Q_p. First, we set $L''^{(p-1)}_j := L^{(p)}_j$ for $j < p$ and $L''^{(p-1)}_j := \tau_{L_{p-1}} L^{(p)}_j$ for $j \geq p$.

We write the left side of l_{j-1} in K by K^{left}_{j-1}. Since $\boldsymbol{L}^{(p)}$ has the property Q_p, the connected component ξ of $L''^{(p)}_j \cap K^{\text{left}}_{p-1}$ for $j > p-1$ can be classified into the following two cases:

(a) one of the endpoints of ξ is on the image of l_{p-1} and the other is on ∂K near $l_i(0)$ for some $i > p-1$, we call such a path a path of type $< i >$;

(b) the two endpoints of ξ are on ∂K near $l_{i_1}(0)$ and $l_{i_2}(0)$ respectively for some $p-1 < i_1 < i_2$, i.e. ξ is a path of type $(i_1, i_2; i_3)$ for some $i_3 < p-1$.

We can assume that all paths of type $(i_1, i_2; i_3)$ do not intersect with the support of $\tau_{L_{p-1}}$.

After applying of $\tau_{L_{p-1}}$ to $L_j^{(p)}$ for $j \geq p$, any connected component ξ of ${L''}_j^{(p-1)} \cap K_{j-1}^{\mathrm{left}}$ is of type $(i_1, i_2; i_3)$ with some $i_3 < p-1 \leq i_1 < i_2$. Now, such a path ξ of type $(i_1, i_2; i_3)$ intersects with L_j more than once only when $d(i_2) < j \leq i_3$. At that time, the number of intersections is two and the intersection points can be removed by isotopy as in the case of $p = n$. After the isotopy, we obtain a path $\widetilde{\xi}$ of type $(i_1, i_2; d(i_2))$. Observe that if $d(i_1) = d(i_2)$, then $\widetilde{\xi}$ does not intersects with L_j for $j < p-1$, and if $d(i_1) < d(i_2)$, then $\widetilde{\xi}$ intersects with $L_{d(i_2)}$. We change all such ξ's into $\widetilde{\xi}$'s by the isotopies.

If we apply the isotopies for suitable order, all the isotopies just reduces intersections and not create new intersection points. (Such an order can be constructed as follows. Any path ξ divides K into two regions and one is contained in K_{p-1}^{left}. We name the contained region K_ξ. We define partial order of paths by $\xi < \xi' \Leftrightarrow K_\xi \subset K_{\xi'}$. This is well-defined by the condition of property P_{p-1}. We add more relation and make it a total order. This is what we want.) Finally, we apply the isotopies for corresponding ${L''}_j^{(p-1)}$ and obtain $L_j^{(p-1)}$.

By the construction, we have shown that the collection $\boldsymbol{L}^{(p-1)}$ of such $L_j^{(p-1)}$'s has the property Q_{p-1} except for the property that $\boldsymbol{L}^{(p-1)}$ can be a collection of underlying spaces of a perfect collection of tailed Lagrangian submanifolds. Therefore, it's time to check the condition (ii) for $\boldsymbol{L}''^{(p-1)}$ and $\boldsymbol{L}^{(p-1)}$. Since each isotopy takes place in K_j^{left} for a suitable $p-1 \leq j < n$, we have ${L''}_j^{(p-1)} \cap K_{p-1}^{\mathrm{right}} = L_j^{(p-1)} \cap K_{p-1}^{\mathrm{right}} = \tau_{L_{j-1}} L_j \cap K_{p-1}^{\mathrm{right}}$ for $j > p-1$. Here, K_{p-1}^{right} is the right part of l_{p-1} in K. Hence, $\boldsymbol{L}''^{(p-1)}$ and $\boldsymbol{L}^{(p-1)}$ can be drown as in Figure 14. Therefore, we can define $\gamma_j^{\pm}$ as in Figure 14. Thus, we have constructed a collection $\boldsymbol{L}^{(p-1)}$ with property Q_{p-1}.

Finally, we have a perfect collection of tailed Lagrangian submanifolds $\boldsymbol{L}^{(0)\pm} = (L_0^{(0)\pm}, L_1^{(0)\pm}, \ldots, L_n^{(0)\pm})$. The gradings are induced by those of $L^{\maltese}$'s. In fact, each $L_j^{(0)}$ shares an interval with L_j in H_j, so they have grading $\alpha_j^{(0)}$ such that $\alpha_j^{(0)} = \alpha_j$ on $L_j^{(0)} \cap L_j \cap H_j$. We specify that the switching point q_j of $L_j^{(0)}$ as the root of the tail.

Thus, we have a perfect collection of tailed Lagrangian branes $\boldsymbol{L}^{(0)\maltese}$ and define another collection $\boldsymbol{S}^{\maltese} = (S_n^{\maltese}, S_{n-1}^{\maltese}, \ldots, S_0^{\maltese})$, where $S_j^{\maltese} = L_j^{(0)\maltese}$. Finally, by Theorem 4.1, $\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{S}^{\maltese})$ is an A_∞-Koszul dual of $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{S,T} = \mathcal{A}(R_{S,T})$.

Remark 7.4 All the isotopies used to construct $\boldsymbol{L}^{(p)}$ are taken place in K so they don't affect the intersection of $L_j^{(p)}$'s for $j > p$. In fact, there exists a diffeomorphism $f\colon M \to M$ such that $f({L''}_j^{(p)}) = f(L_j^{(p)})$ for $j > p$. Thus, we have an isomorphism of A_∞-categories between $\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(({L''}_p^{(p)\maltese}, {L''}_{p+1}^{(p)\maltese}, \ldots, {L''}_n^{(p)\maltese}))$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}((L_p^{(p)\maltese}, L_{p+1}^{(p)\maltese}, \ldots, L_n^{(p)\maltese}))$.

Moreover, the isotopies act on ${L''}_j^{(p)}$ for $j > p$, we have $L_j^{(p)} = L_j$ for $j \leq p$.



Figure 14: $\boldsymbol{L}^{(p-1)}$ and their tails

7.2 Intersections

In this subsection, we prove the following propositions:

Proposition 7.5 *For $i < p$, $S_p \cap S_i \neq \emptyset$ if and only if there exists $1 \leq j \leq l_p$ such that $a_j^{(p)} = i$.*

Together with the inversion formula (Lemma 4.9), we have the following corollary:

Corollary 7.6 *For $i > p$, $S_i \cap S_p \neq \emptyset$ if and only if there exists $1 \leq j \leq l_p^\dagger$ such that $a_j^{(p)\dagger} = i$.*

We name the unique intersection point of S_j and S_i for $i < j$ by $q_{j,i}$

Proposition 7.7 *Along the orientation of S_p, the following points in S_p appear in the following order:*

$$q_p, q_{a_1^{(p)\dagger},p}, q_{a_3^{(p)\dagger},p}, \ldots, q_{a_{l_p^\dagger}^{(p)\dagger},p}, \ldots, q_{a_4^{(p)\dagger},p}, q_{a_2^{(p)\dagger},p}, q_{p,a_2^{(p)}}, q_{p,a_4^{(p)}}, \ldots, q_{p,a_{l_p}^{(p)}}, es \ldots, q_{p,a_3^{(p)}}, q_{p,a_1^{(p)}}.$$

We prove these propositions in the following discussion.

7.2.1 Proof of Proposition 7.5

Figure 15 illustrates the key point of our proof so please refer the figure when it is needed.

When we consider the construction of S_j's of $j \leq p$, we can ignore the submanifolds $L_{j'}^{(*)}$ for $j' > p$ since the Dehn twists $\tau_{L_{j'}}$ and the isotopies used to construct $L_{j'}^{(*)}$ for $* > p$ is irrelevant for the construction.



Figure 15: ξ's and $\widetilde{\xi}$'s

Recall our construction of S_j's. A path ξ of type (p, q) intersects with L_j of $d(q) < j < p$ twice and intersects with L_j with $d(p) < j \leq d(q)$ once. If the path ξ is a part of relevant submanifold appeared in the construction, we deform it into a path $\widetilde{\xi}$ of type $(p, q; d(q))$ to eliminate the pairs of intersection points with L_j of $d(q) < j < p$. As a result, $\widetilde{\xi}$ intersects with L_j of $d(p) < j \leq d(q)$ once and no longer intersects with L_j of $d(q) < j < p$.

Let us consider the submanifold $L''^{(p-1)}_p = \tau_{L_{p-1}} L_p$. By the construction, $L''^{(p-1)}_p \cap K^{\text{left}}_{p-1}$ has only one connected component ξ_1 and this is a path of type $(p-1, p)$. We deform this path into a path $\widetilde{\xi_1}$ of type $(p-1, p; d(p))$. If $d(p) = d(p-1)$ i.e. $d(a^{(p)}_0) = d(a^{(p)}_1)$ ($\Leftrightarrow l_p = 1$), then $\widetilde{\xi_1}$ no longer intersects with L_j with $j < p-1$ neither does $L^{(p-1)}_p$. Hence, the remaining Dehn twists and isotopies do not interact with $L^{(p-1)}_p$. Therefore, we can deduce that $S_p = L^{(p-1)}_p$ and S_p does not intersect with S_j with $j < p-1$. Thus we have proved Proposition 7.5 for the case $l_j = 1$.

Now, suppose that $d(p) \neq d(p-1)$. Recall that the path $\widetilde{\xi_1}$ is a path of type $(p-1, p; d(p))$. The path $\widetilde{\xi_1}$ intersects with $L_{d(p)}$ and does not intersect with L_j for $d(p) < j < p-1$. Therefore, we have $L^{(d(p)+1)}_p = L^{(d(p)+2)}_p = \cdots = L^{(p-1)}_p$. Let us consider $L''^{(d(p))}_p = \tau_{L_{d(p)}} L^{(p-1)}_p$. By construction, $L''^{(d(p))}_p \cap K^{\text{left}}_{d(p)}$ has only one connected component ξ_2, a path of type $(d(p), p-1)$. The path ξ_2 is deformed into a path $\widetilde{\xi_2}$ of type $(d(p), p-1; d(p-1))$ by our isotopy. If $d(p-1) = d(d(p))$, equivalently $d(a^{(p)}_1) = d(a^{(p)}_2)$ ($\Leftrightarrow l_p = 2$), then $\widetilde{\xi_2}$ no longer intersects with L_j of $j < d(p)$. Hence, by the same argument, we can finish the proof of Proposition 7.5 for the case $l_p = 2$. If $d(a^{(p)}_1) \neq d(a^{(p)}_2)$, the path $\widetilde{\xi_2}$ intersects with $L_{d(p-1)}$ and does not intersect with L_j with $d(p-1) < j < d(p)$. By the same argument, we can deduce the following: the submanifolds coincide $L^{(d(p-1)+1)}_p = \cdots = L^{(d(p))}_p$; the subset $L''^{(d(p-1))}_p \cap K^{\text{left}}_{d(p-1)} = \tau_{L_{d(p-1)}} L^{(d(p))}_p \cap K^{\text{left}}_{d(p-1)}$ has only one connected component ξ_3 which is a path of type $(d(p-1), d(p))$; the path ξ_3 is deformed into a path $\widetilde{\xi_3}$ of type

$(d(p-1), d(p); d(d(p))) = (a_3^{(p)}, a_2^{(p)}; d(a_2^{(p)}))$. If $d(a_2^{(p)}) = d(a_3^{(p)})$, this the end of the proof of Proposition 7.5 for the case $l_p = 3$. If $d(a_2^{(p)}) \neq d(a_3^{(p)})$, then we should iterate the above procedure.

The procedure is as follows. Let us assume that $L_p^{(a_{j+1}^{(p)}+1)} \cap K^{\mathrm{left}}_{a_{j+1}^{(p)}}$ is a path of type $< a_j^{(p)} >$ and $\left\{ l \,\middle|\, L_p^{(a_{j+1}^{(p)}+1)} \cap L_l^{(a_{j+1}^{(p)}+1)} \neq \emptyset, a_{j+1}^{(p)} < l < p \right\} = \left\{ a_i^{(p)} \,\middle|\, 1 \leq i \leq j \right\}$. (Whenever we write the symbol $a_{j+1}^{(p)}$, we assume that $l_p \geq j+1$.) Here, recall that a path of type $< s >$ in K_t^{left} for $s > t$ is a path with two end points, one of the end points is located in ∂K near $l_s(0)$ and the other point is located in the image of l_t. Note that this hypothesis with $j = 0$ is always true. Define ${L''}_p^{(a_{j+1}^{(p)})} := \tau_{L_{a_{j+1}^{(p)}}} L_p^{(a_{j+1}^{(p)}+1)}$. By the first hypothesis, ${L''}_p^{(a_{j+1}^{(p)})} \cap K^{\mathrm{left}}_{a_{j+1}^{(p)}}$ is a path ξ_{j+1} of $(a_{j+1}^{(p)}, a_j^{(p)})$. Thus, by definition of $L_p^{(a_{j+1}^{(p)})}$, ξ_{j+1} is deformed into a path $\widetilde{\xi_{j+1}}$ of type $(a_{j+1}^{(p)}, a_j^{(p)}; d(a_j^{(p)}))$ and we have $I_{j+1} = \left\{ l \,\middle|\, \widetilde{\xi_{j+1}} \cap L_l \neq \emptyset, l < a_{j+1}^{(p)} \right\} = \left\{ l \,\middle|\, d(a_{j+1}^{(p)}) < l \leq d(a_j^{(p)}) \right\}$. Moreover, we have $\left\{ l \,\middle|\, L_p^{(a_{j+1}^{(p)})} \cap L_l^{(a_{j+1}^{(p)})} \neq \emptyset, a_{j+1}^{(p)} \leq l < p \right\} = \left\{ a_i^{(p)} \,\middle|\, 1 \leq i \leq j+1 \right\}$

Let us consider two cases. The first case is the case of $d(a_{j+1}^{(p)}) = d(a_j^{(p)})$ ($\Leftrightarrow l_p = j+1$). In this case, $I_{j+1} = \emptyset$. Thus, the support of the remaining Dehn twists and isotopies to construct $\boldsymbol{L}^{(s)}$ for $s < a_{j+1}^{(p)}$ do not intersect with $L_p^{(a_{j+1}^{(p)})}$. Thus we have $S_p = L_p^{(a_{j+1}^{(p)})}$, and $\{l \,|\, S_p \cap S_l \neq \emptyset, l < p\} = \left\{ a_i^{(p)} \,\middle|\, 1 \leq i \leq l_p \right\}$.

The second case is the case of $d(a_{j+1}^{(p)}) < d(a_j^{(p)})$ ($\Leftrightarrow l_p > j+1$). Since $I_{j+1} = \left\{ l \,\middle|\, \widetilde{\xi_{j+1}} \cap L_l \neq \emptyset, l < a_{j+1}^{(p)} \right\} = \left\{ l \,\middle|\, d(a_{j+1}^{(p)}) < l \leq d(a_j^{(p)}) = a_{j+2}^{(p)} \right\}$, we have $L_p^{(a_{j+2}^{(p)}+1)} = \cdots = L_p^{(a_{j+1}^{(p)})}$. Moreover, by the construction, $L_p^{(a_{j+2}^{(p)}+1)} \cap K^{\mathrm{left}}_{a_{j+2}^{(p)}}$ is a path of $< a_{j+1}^{(p)} >$ and $\left\{ l \,\middle|\, L_p^{(a_{j+2}^{(p)}+1)} \cap L_l^{(a_{j+2}^{(p)}+1)} \neq \emptyset, a_{j+2}^{(p)} < l < p \right\} = \left\{ a_i^{(p)} \,\middle|\, 1 \leq i \leq j+1 \right\}$. These conditions coincide with the formula which are obtained by replacing j into $j+1$ in the first two conditions we assumed.

Finally, to prove Proposition 7.5, we iterate the above procedure l_p-times.

7.2.2 Proof of Proposition 7.7

Next, we study the order of intersections. In this subsection, we study the Dehn twists and isotopies as above with orientation of submanifolds.

First, we show that the subsets $\{q_p\}$, $\{q_{a_j^{(p)\dagger},p}\}$, $\{q_{p,a_j^{(p)}}\}$ of S_p appear in this order with respect to the orientation of S_p. By the construction, we have that $q_{a_j^{(p)\dagger},p} \in H_j$. When one goes along S_p from q_p, the first strip one goes through is H_p. This shows that the subsets appear in the above order. (Recall that the brane orientation of $L_p^{(p)} = L_p$ is the same with that induced from the orientations in Figure 12.)

Next, we study the order of $q_{p,a_j^{(p)}}$'s. Together with the orientation, the path $\widetilde{\xi_1}$ is a

path from $l_p(0) = l_{a_0^{(p)}}(0)$ to a point near $l_{p-1}(0) = l_{a_1^{(p)}}(0)$. Thus, the points in $L_p^{(p-1)} = L_p^{(a_1^{(p)})}$ appear by the following order: $q_p, d(p), d(p)-1, \ldots, d(p-1)+1, p-1\,(=a_1^{(p)})$. Here, each number represents the intersection of $L_p^{(p-1)}$ and corresponding submanifold. Figure 15 illustrates the situation.

Suppose that $l_p = 1\,(\Leftrightarrow d(p) = d(p-1))$. Then the order of the points is $q_p, a_1^{(p)}$. Moreover, $L_p^{(p-1)}$ is away from the support of remaining Dehn twists and isotopies. Hence, we have the proof for the case $l_p = 1$. (However, in fact, this case is trivial.)

Now, we consider the case $l_p \geq 2$. The Dehn twist $\tau_{L_{d(p)}} = \tau_{L_{a_2^{(p)}}}$ acts on the path $\widetilde{\xi_1}$ and obtain ξ_2. We can see that the path $\widetilde{\xi_2}$ comes from a point near $l_{d(p)}(0) = l_{a_2^{(p)}}(0)$, go to a point near $l_{p-1}(0) = l_{a_1^{(p)}}(0)$, and intersects with $L_j^{(d(p))} = L_j^{(a_2^{(p)})}$ by the following order of subscripts, $d(d(p))+1, d(d(p))+2, \ldots, d(p-1)$. Hence, the points in $L_p^{(a_2^{(p)})}$ appear by the following order: $q_p, d(p)\,(=a_2^{(p)}), d(d(p))+1\,(=d(a_2^{(p)})+1), d(d(p))+2, \ldots, d(p-1)\,(=d(a_1^{(p)})), p-1\,(=a_1^{(p)})$. Figure 15 illustrates the situation.

Suppose that $l_p = 2\,(\Leftrightarrow d(a_1^{(p)}) = d(a_2^{(p)}))$. Then, the order of the points is $q_p, a_2^{(p)}, a_1^{(p)}$. By almost the same discussion as in the case of $l_p = 1$, we have proved the case of $l_p = 2$.

Now, consider the case for $l_p \geq 3$. By the same discussion, the intersection points in $L_p^{(a_3^{(p)})}$ appear by the following order: $q_p, a_2^{(p)}, d(a_2^{(p)}), d(a_2^{(p)})-1, \ldots, d(a_3^{(p)})+1, a_3^{(p)}, a_1^{(p)}$. Again Figure 15 illustrates the situation.

If $l_p = 3$, then we can finish the proof by the same argument, and if $l_p > 3$, then we can finish the proof by the iteration of the above discussion.

Next, we study the order of $q_{a_j^{(p)\dagger},p}$'s. We prove the statement about the order of them by induction on p. For the case of $p = n$, the statement is trivial. Now we assume that the statement is true for $p > s$ and prove the case of $p = s$.

Let us see the case with small $l_s^\dagger$. In the case of $l_s^\dagger = 1$, the statement is trivial. In the case of $l_s^\dagger = 2$, there exists a relation $[s, d^\dagger(s)]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and we have $a_0^{(s)^\dagger} = s$, $a_1^{(s)^\dagger} = s+1$, and $a_2^{(s)^\dagger} = d^\dagger(s)$. In this case, $\boldsymbol{L}^{(s+1)}$ is as in the left part of Figure 16. The remaining Dehn twists and isotopies do not change the order of the intersection points $q_{a_j^{(s)\dagger},s}$'s, so the statement for these points holds.

In the case of $l_s^\dagger = 3$, then there exist two relations $[s, d^\dagger(s)]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $[c_1^\dagger, d^\dagger(s+1)]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfying $s < c_1^\dagger < d^\dagger(s)$. The submanifold $L_{a_3^{(s)\dagger}}^{(s+1)}$ is isotopic to $\tau_{c_1^\dagger}\tau_{a_3^{(s)\dagger}-1}L_{a_3^{(s)\dagger}}$, where $\tau_j = \tau_{L_j}$. Hence, the intersection of $L_s^{(s+1)}$ and $L_{a_3^{(s)\dagger}}^{(s+1)}$ is just left (with respect to the orientation of $L_{c_1^\dagger}$) to the intersection of $L_s^{(s+1)}$ and $L_{c_1^\dagger}^{(s)}$ as in the right part of Figure 16. By the construction of $\boldsymbol{L}^{(s)}$, the remaining Dehn twists and isotopies do not change the order of the intersection points $q_{a_j^{(s)\dagger},s}$'s, so the statement for this case holds.

In the case of $l_s^\dagger = 4$, there exist three relations $[s, d^\dagger(s)]_{\mathbb{Z}}$, $[c_1^\dagger, d^\dagger(s+1)]_{\mathbb{Z}}$, and $[b_2^\dagger, d^{\dagger 2}(s)]$ such that $s < c_1^\dagger < d^\dagger(s)$ and $d^\dagger(s) \leq b_2^\dagger < d^\dagger(s+1)$. The submanifold $L_{a_3^{(s)\dagger}}^{(s+1)}$



Figure 16: $\boldsymbol{L}^{(s+1)}$

is same as in the case of $l_s^\dagger = 3$ and $L^{(s+1)}_{a_4^{(s)\dagger}}$ is isotopic to $\tau_{d(a_4^{(s)\dagger}-1)}\tau_{b_2^\dagger}\tau_{a_4^{(s)\dagger}-1}L_{a_4^{(s)\dagger}}$. Now, $d(a_4^{(s)\dagger}-1)$ satisfies the following inequality $c_1^\dagger \le d(a_4^{(s)\dagger}-1) < d^\dagger(s)$. Here, the second inequality follows from Lemma 4.11. The first inequality follows from the fact that $c_1^\dagger$ is the smallest element in S which is greater than s and $s < d(d^{\dagger 2}(s)-1) = d(a_4^{(s)\dagger}-1)$. If $c_1^\dagger < d(d^{\dagger 2}(s)-1)$, then the statement holds. Now assume that $c_1^\dagger = d(d^{\dagger 2}(s)-1)$. By the assumption of the induction and the definition of $\boldsymbol{L}^{(s)}$, three points $q_{c_1^\dagger}$, c, and b in $L^{(c_1^\dagger)}_{c_1^\dagger}$ are located in this order, where b is the unique intersection point with $L^{(c_1^\dagger)}_{a_3^{(s)\dagger}}$ and c is that with $L^{(c_1^\dagger)}_{a_4^{(s)\dagger}}$, since $a_3^{(s)\dagger} = a_2^{(c_1^\dagger)}$ and $a_4^{(s)\dagger} = a_3^{(c_1^\dagger)}$. Hence, as in Figure 17, the statement in this case also holds.

Finally, we consider the case when $l_s^\dagger \ge 5$. As in the discussion above, there exist relations $[s, d^\dagger(s)]_{\mathbb{Z}}$, $[c_1^\dagger, d^\dagger(s+1)]_{\mathbb{Z}}$, and $[b_2^\dagger, d^{\dagger 2}(s)]$ with the same condition. For odd number $2j+1$ with $j \ge 1$, $L^{(c_1^\dagger)}_{a_{2j+1}^{(s)\dagger}}$ intersects with $L^{(c_1^\dagger)}_{c_1^\dagger}$ since $a_{2j}^{\left(a_{2j+1}^{(s)\dagger}\right)} = d^j d^{\dagger j}(s+1) = c_1^\dagger$. By the hypothesis of the induction and the construction of $\boldsymbol{L}^{(s)}$, we can deduce the points $q_s, q_{a_1^{(s)\dagger},s}, q_{a_3^{(s)\dagger},s}, q_{a_5^{(s)\dagger},s}, \dots$ in S_s are in this order along the orientation of S_s as in the left side of Figure 18.

For even number $2j$ with $j \ge 1$, $L^{(b_2^\dagger)}_{a_{2j}^{(s)\dagger}}$ intersects with $L^{(b_2^\dagger)}_{b_2^\dagger}$ since $a_{2j-2}^{(a_{2j}^{(s)\dagger})} = d^{j-1}d^{\dagger j}(s) = dd^{\dagger 2}(s) = b_2^\dagger$. Additionally, $L^{(b_2^\dagger)}_{a_{2j}^{(s)\dagger}}$ intersects with L_{r_j} with $r_j = d^{j-1}(a_{2j}^{(s)\dagger}-1) = d^{j-1}(d^{\dagger j}(s)-1)$. By Lemma 4.11 and discussion of the case with $l_s^\dagger = 4$, we have $c_1^\dagger \le \cdots \le r_2 \le r_1 < d^\dagger(s)$. Again by the same argument as in the case $l_s^\dagger = 4$, we can show that the following points are in this order: $q_s, q_{a_1^{(s)\dagger},s}, q_{a_3^{(s)\dagger},s}, \dots, q_{a_{l_s^\dagger}^{(s)\dagger},s}, \dots, q_{a_4^{(s)\dagger},s}, q_{a_2^{(s)\dagger},s}$. as in the right side of Figure 18. This completes the proof of Proposition 7.7.



Figure 17: The case when $c_1^\dagger = d(d^{\dagger 2}(s) - 1)$



Figure 18: The case when $l_s^\dagger \geq 5$

7.3 Core of S^{Φ}

Recall that the sets of start and goal points of relations are $S = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_m\}$ and $T = \{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_m\}$. We write the interval in S_j between $q_{j,i}$ and $q_{j,l}$ contained in the core of $\boldsymbol{S}$ by $[i, l]_j$. (We don't care that which number is bigger.)

Lemma 7.8 *For any $1 \leq j \leq m$, the intervals $[t_j, s_j + 1]_{s_j}$, $[s_j, s_j + 2]_{s_j+1}, \ldots, [t_j - 2, t_j]_{t_j-1}$, $[t_j - 1, s_j]_{t_j}$ bounds a $(t_j - s_j + 1)$-gon.*



Figure 19: the $(t_j - s_j + 1)$-gon

Proof By the constuction, the submanifolds $L_i^{(s_j+1)}$ for $s_j \leq i \leq t_j$ intersect as in Figure 19. Hence, the above submanifolds bound a $(t_j - s_j + 1)$-gon. Again by the construction, the remaining Dehn twists and isotopies do not destroy the $(t_j - s_j + 1)$-gon. This completes the proof. □

We write the above $(t_j - s_j + 1)$-gon by Y_j and set $X_j \coloneqq \bigcup_{i \leq j} cS_i \cup \bigcup_{t_i \leq j} Y_i$, where cS_i is the core of S_i.

Lemma 7.9 *X_j is contractible.*

Proof We prove this by induction. For $j = 0$, This is true since $X_0 = cS_0$ is just an interval or a point. Now we prove that X_p is contractible under the condition that X_{p-1} is contractible.

If $p \neq t_i$ for any $1 \leq i \leq m$, then $X_p = X_{p-1} \cup cS_p$. In this case, since $d(p) = d(p-1)$ so we have $l_p = 1$. Thus, cS_p intersects with X_{p-1} at just one point $q_{p,p-1} \in cS_{p-1} \subset X_{p-1}$. Hence we have that X_p is contractible.

Next, suppose that $p = t_i$ for some i. Then, X_p is the union $X_{p-1} \cup cS_p \cup Y_i$. In this case, it is sufficient to show that $\partial Y_i \cap X_{p-1}$ is connected because it implies that X_p is the union of contractible set X_{p-1} and contractible set Y_i with contractible intersection.

By Proposition 7.7, we have $cS_j = [j-1, j+1]_j$. Now, we have $\partial Y_i \cap X_{p-1} = [s_i+1, t_i]_{s_i} \cup \bigcup_{s_i<j<t_i=p} [j-1, j+1]_j \cup ([p-1, p+1]_p \cap X_{p-1})$. Therefore it suffices to show that $([p-1, p+1]_p \cap X_{p-1}) \setminus \{q_{p,p-1}\}$ is connected.

If $l_p = 2$, then we have $[p-1, p+1]_p \cap X_{p-1} = \{q_{p,p-1}, q_{p,a_2^{(p)}}\}$. This follows from the following discussion. The intersection $cS_p \cap (\cup_{j<p} cS_j)$ is these two points hence the interval $[p-1, a_2^{(p)}]_p$ is either contained in X_{p-1} or just intersects with X_{p-1} only at the two end points. Since $q_{p,p-1}$ and $q_{p+1,p}$ are away from $\cup_{t_i<p} Y_i$ by Proposition 7.7 and Lemma 7.8, we have $[p-1, p+1]_p \cap X_{p-1} = \{q_{p,p-1}, q_{p,a_2^{(p)}}\}$.

Now, we consider the case $l_p \geq 3$. In this case, there exist relations $[d(p), p]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $[d(p-1), c_1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ with $d(p) < c_1 < p$. Let us write $c_1 = t_{i'}$. Since $t_{i'} = c_1 < p$, we have $Y_{i'} \subset X_{p-1}$. Let γ' be a path from $q_{p,p-1}$ to $q_{p,a_2^{(p)}}$ along S_p with its image $[p-1, a_2^{(p)}]_p$. Consider a path γ which is an extension of γ' so that γ go beyond $q_{p,a_2^{(p)}}$ a little along S_p. Then γ starts from $q_{p,p-1}$ first intersect with $\cup_{j<p} cS_j$ at $q_{p,a_3^{(p)}}$, and the final intersection point with that set is $q_{p,a_2^{(p)}}$. Because of the following three facts: $q_{p,p-1}$ is away from $Y_{i'}$, the intersection of S_p and $\partial Y_{i'}$ is transversal, and $\{q_{p,a_2^{(p)}}, q_{p,a_3^{(p)}}\} = S_p \cap \partial Y_{i'}$ by Proposition 7.7, we can conclude that γ go into the interior of $Y_{i'}$ at $q_{p,a_3^{(p)}}$. If $l_p = 3$, γ must stay in $Y_{i'}$ unless it reaches the point $q_{p,a_2^{(p)}}$ so we have $([p-1, p+1]_p \cap X_{p-1}) \setminus \{q_{p,p-1}\} = [a_2^{(p)}, a_3^{(p)}]_p$ and this is connected.

Finall, the case of $l_p \geq 4$, we consider the intersection points $q_{p,a_r^{(p)}}$ of γ and $\cup_{j<p} cS_j$ with $r \geq 4$. Since $a_r^{(p)} < a_3^{(p)} = s_{i'}$, these points are contained in $\mathring{Y}_{i'}$. Therefore again γ must stay in $Y_{i'}$ unless it reaches the point $q_{p,a_3^{(p)}}$ so we have $([p-1, p+1]_p \cap X_{p-1}) \setminus \{q_{p,p-1}\} = [a_2^{(p)}, a_3^{(p)}]$ and this is connected.

□

Remark 7.10 By the above lemmas and propositions, the core cS of S has the following properties.

- There exists a $(t_j - s_j + 1)$-gon Y_j corresponds to a relation $[s_j, t_j]_{\mathbb{Z}}$.
- The root and intersection points in S_j is distributed by the order displayed in Proposition 7.7.
- Especially, the core satisfies $cS_j = [j-1, j+1]_j$ for $0 < j < n$.
- If $l_0^\dagger \geq 2$, then $cS_0 = [1, d^\dagger(0)]_0$, else $cS_0 = \{q_{1,0}\}$.
- If $l_n \geq 2$, then $cS_n = [d(n), n-1]_n$, else $cS_n = \{q_{n.n-1}\}$
- For any $0 \leq p \leq n$, $X_p = \bigcup_{0\leq j\leq p} cS_j \cup \bigcup_{1\leq t_i\leq p} Y_i$ is contractible.

In many concrete examples, such a "diagram" is unique up to "isotopy", and the author couldn't find any counter examples. Therefore, the author believes that the uniqueness holds under some justification. However, we don't go into this direction.

7.4 Determination of degree

Lemma 7.11 *The cohomology group $H(\hom_{\mathcal{F}}(S^{\#}_j, S^{\#}_{j-1}))$ is of one-dimension and concentrated in degree one part.*

Proof Since our Lagrangian branes are Hamiltonian isotopic to $S'^{\#}_j = \tau_{L^{\#}_1} \cdots \tau_{L^{\#}_{j-1}} L^{\#}_j$ and $S'^{\#}_{j-1} = \tau_{L^{\#}_1} \cdots \tau_{L^{\#}_{j-2}} L^{\#}_{j-1}$, it is enough to study the cohomology of $\hom_{\mathcal{F}}(L^{\#}_j, L^{\#}_{j-1})$ by applying $(\tau_{L^{\#}_1} \tau_{L^{\#}_2} \cdots \tau_{L^{\#}_{j-1}})^{-1}$.

Recall that L_{j-1} and L_j intersects only at $p_{j-1,j}$ and its degree is zero so we have $-1 < \alpha_j(p_{j-1,j}) - \alpha_{j-1}(p_{j-1,j}) < 0$. Thus we have $0 < \alpha_{j-1}(p_{j-1,j}) - \alpha_j(p_{j-1,j}) < 1$ and hence $p_{j-1,j} \in \hom^1_{\mathcal{F}}(L^{\#}_j, L^{\#}_{j-1})$. □

Lemma 7.12 $q_{p,a^{(p)}_j} \in \hom^j_{\mathcal{F}}(S^{\#}_p, S^{\#}_{a^{(p)}_j})$.

Proof We prove this lemma by induction on j. The first case $j = 1$ is proved in Lemma 7.11.

Suppose that the statement is true for the case $j = i - 1$. Consider a sequence of intervals $[a^{(p)}_i, a^{(p)}_{i-1}]_p, [p, a^{(p)}_{i-1}-1]_{a^{(p)}_{i-1}}, [a^{(p)}_{i-1}, a^{(p)}_{i-1}-2]_{a^{(p)}_{i-1}-1}, \ldots, [a^{(p)}_i+1, p]_{a^{(p)}_i}$. These intervals form a loop γ and in fact this loop does not have self intersections since there is no relation corresponds to an interval contained in $[a^{(p)}_i, a^{(p)}_{i-1}]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ by the definition of $\{a^{(p)}_j\}$. We can show that this loop γ go left at every corner because of the orientations of the intervals and the degrees of intersections. Moreover, since X_n is contractible, γ bounds a $(a^{(p)}_{i-1} - a^{(p)}_i + 2)$-gon. This shows that $\mathcal{M}(q_{a^{(p)}_i+1,a^{(p)}_i}, q_{a^{(p)}_i+2,a^{(p)}_i+1}, \ldots, q_{p,a^{(p)}_{i-1}}; q_{p,a^{(p)}_i}) \neq \emptyset$ and thus we have $\deg(q_{a^{(p)}_i}) = i$.

□

7.5 Counting discs

In this subsection, we prove the following proposition:

Proposition 7.13 *Assume that the integers $i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_l$ satisfy the following condition:* $\hom_{\mathcal{F}}(S^{\#}_{i_j}, S^{\#}_{i_{j-1}}) \neq 0$ *for any j,* $\hom_{\mathcal{F}}(S^{\#}_{i_l}, S^{\#}_{i_0}) \neq 0$, *and* $|q_{i_1,i_0}| + |q_{i_2,i_1}| + \cdots + |q_{i_l,i_{l-1}}| + (2 - l) = |q_{i_l,i_0}|$. *Then, there exists just one $(l+1)$-gon contributes to the higher composition and $\mu^l(q_{i_1,i_0}, q_{i_2,i_1}, \ldots, q_{i_l,i_{l-1}}) = (-1)^{|q_{i_l,i_{l-1}}|(|q_{i_l,i_0}|+1)} q_{i_l,i_0}$ holds.*

Before we begin to prove this proposition, we see the following lemma:

Lemma 7.14 *For any $i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_l$ ($l \geq 1$), there is no clockwise null-homotopic embedded loop γ such that it goes along an interval contained in cS_{i_l}, turns left or right and goes along an interval in $cS_{i_{l-1}}$, turns left or right and goes along an interval in $cS_{i_{l-2}}$, iterate this procedure for i_{l-3}, $i_{l-4}, \cdots, i_1$, and finally goes along cS_{i_0} and comes back to the start point.*

Proof In the case of $l = 1$, there is no bigon since $S_{i_0} \cap S_{i_1}$ is either $\varnothing$ or singleton.

Now we consider the case $l \geq 2$. Assume contrarily that there exists a loop γ as in the statement. By definition, we have $q_{i_2,i_1} \in H_{i_1}$. By Proposition 7.7, the orientation of γ and that of $S^{\#}_{i_1}$ coincide. Since γ is a clockwise null-homotopic loop, γ bounds a disc in its right side. However, it is impossible by the same argument of Lemma 5.4 since $S_{i_0} \cap H_{i_1} = \varnothing$ (this is because $i_0 < i_1$) as depicted in Figure 20. □



Figure 20: Figure of H_i

Now, we start the proof of the Proposition 7.13. For integers $i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_l$ as in the proposition, we consider a loop γ which first starts from q_{i_1,i_0}, goes to q_{i_2,i_1} along cS_{i_1}, and at every corner, γ turns left. Obtain γ' by perturbing γ so that γ' is smooth, and we write its image by L_γ. By the degree condition, we can compute the writhe of L_γ as $w(L_\gamma) = 2$.

First, we prove that $\gamma \subset c\boldsymbol{S}$. Assume contrarily i.e. $I = \{i_j \,|\, \gamma \cap S_{i_j} \not\subset cS_{i_j}\}$ is non-empty. We change the way of turning of γ around S_i for $i \in I$, so that the resulting curve $\widetilde{\gamma}$ is contained in $c\boldsymbol{S}$. At this constructoin, we change left turns into right turns as in Figure 21 in even times, so we have $w(L_{\widetilde{\gamma}}) \leq 0$, where $L_{\widetilde{\gamma}}$ is the image of smoothing $\widetilde{\gamma}'$ of $\widetilde{\gamma}$.

Now, $\widetilde{\gamma}$ is a piecewise smooth immersed curve in a contractible region X_n in M. Moreover, all the self-intersection is transitive. Hence, we can show that there exists sub curve which bounds a disc in its right side. (The easiest case is presented in Figure 22.) Therefore this contradicts with Lemma 7.14. Thus, we have shown that $\gamma \subset c\boldsymbol{S} \subset X_n$.

Now, γ is a writhe two, piecewise smooth, embedded curve in contractible region X_n (the lack of the self-intersection is deduced by the following discussion: if it has self-intersections, there must exist a clockwise subloop). Hence, γ bounds a disc u in its left side.

Since $\boldsymbol{S}^{\pm}$ is a perfect collection of tailed Lagrangian submanifolds and each pair of S_j's intersect at most once, the number of such discs is at most one. Hence the disc

above is the unique element in $\mathcal{M}(q_{i_1,i_0}, q_{i_2,i_1}, \ldots, q_{i_l,i_{l-1}})$.

Finally, we study the sign $(-1)^{s(u)}$ of u. By the definition of $\boldsymbol{S}^{\maltese}$, all switching points are irrelevant to the sign. As in the proof of Lemma 7.14, the brane orientation of $S^{\maltese}_{i_j}$ and ∂u coincide for $0 < j < l$. By Proposition 7.7, the orientations of $S^{\maltese}_{i_l}$ and ∂u coincide if and only if $|q_{i_l,i_{l-1}}|$ is odd ($\Leftrightarrow$ j in $i_{l-1} = a^{(i_l)}_j$ is odd). Thus the sign is $(-1)^{s(u)} = (-1)^{(|q_{i_l,i_{l-1}}|+1)(|q_{i_l,i_{l-1}}|+|q_{i_l,i_0}|)} = (-1)^{(|q_{i_l,i_{l-1}}|+1)|q_{i_l,i_0}|}$. This completes the proof of Proposition 7.13.

Now, what we have proved is that $\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}_{S,T}(\boldsymbol{S}^{\maltese}_{S,T})$ and $\mathcal{B}_{S,T}$ are isomorphic. Therefore, this completes the proof of Theorem 4.5.



Figure 21: making right turn into left turn



Figure 22: Example of finding clockwise loop

7.6 Some examples

We see some examples of the core of $\boldsymbol{S}$. First, we prepare an algebra which we compute its A_∞-Koszul dual. We compute A_∞-Koszul duals of $A_1 \coloneqq k(\overrightarrow{\Delta}_3, (\alpha_3\alpha_2\alpha_1))$, $A_2 \coloneqq k(\overrightarrow{\Delta}_6, (\alpha_3\alpha_2\alpha_1, \alpha_6\alpha_5\alpha_4))$, and $A_3 \coloneqq k(\overrightarrow{\Delta}_6, (\alpha_3\alpha_2\alpha_1, \alpha_4\alpha_3, \alpha_6\alpha_5\alpha_4))$. Here, $\overrightarrow{\Delta}_n$ is the directed A_n-quiver. We distinguish the relevant items like exact Riemann surface M, a collection of Lagrangian submanifolds $\boldsymbol{L}$, and so on by giving them subscripts like M_i and $\boldsymbol{L}_i$.

First, we study the core of $\boldsymbol{S}_1$. This collection consists of $S_3 \simeq \tau_0\tau_1\tau_2 L_3$, $S_2 \simeq \tau_0\tau_1 L_2$, $S_1 \simeq \tau_0 L_1$, and $S_0 \simeq L_0$. (Here, the symbol "$\simeq$" represents that both sides are Hamiltonian isotopic.) Now, we just want to investigate their intersections and polygons, we apply them τ_0^{-1} and consider $S'_3 \simeq \tau_1\tau_2 L_3$, $S'_2 \simeq \tau_1 L_2$, $S'_1 \simeq L_1$, and $S'_0 \simeq L_0$.



Figure 23: $\tau_2 L_3$ and $(\tau_2 L_3)'$

Now, we consider $\tau_2 L_3$. This is drawn in Figure 23. We can see that $\tau_2 L_3$ intersects with L_1 twice, but we can eliminate the intersections by an isotopy. We write the resulting curve by $(\tau_2 L_3)'$. Since $(\tau_2 L_3)' \cap L_1 = \emptyset$, we can define $S'_3 = (\tau_2 L_3)'$. Next, we consider $\tau_1 L_2$. This curve again intersects with L_0 twice so we eliminate the intersections by the same way, obtain $(\tau_1 L_2)'$ and replace S'_2 by this curve.

Then, the resulting $\boldsymbol{S}'_1$ is as in Figure 24. We can see that there are four points $q'_{i,j} \in S'_i \cap S'_j$ for $(i, j) = (1, 0), (2, 1), (3, 2), (3, 0)$ and quadrangle with the four vertices. In fact, there is no polygon other than this quadrangle, so the (boundary of) quadrangle is nothing but the core of $\boldsymbol{S}'_1$. By the same computation of Dehn twists, we can see that there emerges one $(l + 1)$-gon for every relation of length l. Together with the degree, a directed A_∞-category $\mathcal{B}_1 \coloneqq \mathcal{F}_1^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{S}_1^{\#}) \cong \mathcal{F}_1^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{S}_1'^{\#})$ can be represented as follows: $\hom^d_{\mathcal{B}_1}(S_i^{\#}, S_j^{\#}) = 0$ except for $\hom^0_{\mathcal{B}_1}(S_j^{\#}, S_j^{\#}) = k \cdot 1_{S_j^{\#}}$, $\hom^1_{\mathcal{B}_1}(S_j^{\#}, S_{j-1}^{\#}) = k \cdot q_{j,j-1}$, and $\hom^2_{\mathcal{B}_1}(S_3^{\#}, S_0^{\#}) = k \cdot q_{3,0}$; μ's are all zero but μ^2 with identity morphisms and $\mu^3(q_{1,0}, q_{2,1}, q_{3,2}) = q_{3,0}$. This formula coincides with that in Theorem 4.5.



Figure 24: $\boldsymbol{S}_1$

Now, we see the core of $\boldsymbol{S}_2$, especially we study that which curve S'_j intersects with S'_6. As in Figure 25, the curve $(\tau_5 L_6)'$, obtained by the Dehn twist and isotopy like the case of A_1, does not intersect with L_4, so the curve is twisted by L_3. After an action of isotopy, we can see that $(\tau_3(\tau_5 L_6)')'$ does not intersect with L_0, L_1, and L_2. Hence, we can replace S'_6 by $(\tau_3(\tau_5 L_6)')'$. By the construction, S'_6 intersects with S'_j with $j = 5, 3$ at H_j.

The upper right part of Figure 25 teaches us that $(\tau_5 L_6)'$, $(\tau_4 L_5)'$, L_4, and L_3 bounds a quadrangle. This quadrangle do not be destroyed by the Dehn twists τ_0, τ_1, τ_2, and τ_3 and isotopies. By the same argument of the case of A_1, we can see that S_3, S_2, S_1, and S_0 forms another quadrangle. In fact, there are no polygons other than these two quadrangles and the core of $\boldsymbol{S}'_2$ is as in Figure 26.

Together with the degree, a directed A_∞-category $\mathcal{B}_2 \coloneqq \mathcal{F}_2^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{S}_2^{\#}) \cong \mathcal{F}_2^{\rightarrow}(\boldsymbol{S}_2'^{\#})$ can be represented as follows: $\hom^d_{\mathcal{B}_2}(S_i^{\#}, S_j^{\#}) = 0$ except for $\hom^0_{\mathcal{B}_2}(S_j^{\#}, S_j^{\#}) = k \cdot 1_{S_j^{\#}}$, $\hom^1_{\mathcal{B}_2}(S_j^{\#}, S_{j-1}^{\#}) = k \cdot q_{j,j-1}$, $\hom^2_{\mathcal{B}_2}(S_6^{\#}, S_3^{\#}) = k \cdot q_{6,3}$, and $\hom^2_{\mathcal{B}_2}(S_3^{\#}, S_0^{\#}) = k \cdot q_{3,0}$; μ's are all zero but μ^2 with identity morphisms, $\mu^3(q_{4,3}, q_{5,4}, q_{6,5}) = q_{6,3}$, and $\mu^3(q_{1,0}, q_{2,1}, q_{3,2}) = q_{3,0}$. This formula again coincides with that in Theorem 4.5.



Figure 25: $\boldsymbol{L}_2^{(3)}$



Figure 26: $\boldsymbol{S}_2'$



Figure 27: from L_6 to S_6

Finally, we study the case of A_3. First, we focus on that which curve S'_j does intersect with S'_6. See Figure 27. The curve $(\tau_3(\tau_5 L_6)')'$ intersects with L_2 in contrast to the case of A_2. This difference comes from the bypass in M_3 corresponds with a relation $\alpha_5\alpha_4$. This difference induce the difference $d_3(3) = 0 < 2 = d_3(5)$ and $d_2(3) = 0 = d_2(5)$. The subscript 2 of L_2 comes from $d_3(5)$. We can say that $(\tau_2(\tau_3(\tau_5 L_6)')')'$ intersects with $L_0 = L_{d_3(3)}$ "since" $d_3(2) = -\infty < 0 = d_3(3)$. This naive computation demonstrates the computation of the hom spaces of an A_∞-Koszul dual of $\mathcal{A}_3$.

Next, we study the order of intersection points of S'_6 and other S'_j's. When we go along $S_6 \simeq \tau_0 S'_6$, we pass through the handles H_6, H_3, H_0, H_2, and H_5 in this order. These numbers are nothing but $6 = a_0^{(6)}$, $3 = a_2^{(6)}$, $0 = a_4^{(6)}$, $2 = a_3^{(6)}$, $5 = a_1^{(6)}$. When we read their subscripts from left to right, the subscripts are $0, 2, 4, \dots$ and when we read them from right to left, the subscripts are $1, 3, \dots$. As we see, this pattern holds in the general case.

As in the general case, $\boldsymbol{S}_3$ has the following properties.

- There are two quadrangles encircled by (S_3, S_2, S_1, S_0) and (S_6, S_5, S_4, S_3) and there is a triangle encircled by (S_4, S_3, S_2).
- S_j intersects with S_i for $i < j$ only when i emerges in the sequence $\{a_p^{(j)}\}_{0 \le p \le l_j}$.
- The order of subscripts i of intersection points $q_{j,i}$ of $S_i \cap S_j$ for $i < j$ in S_j is $a_2^{(j)}, a_4^{(j)}, \dots, a_{l_j}^{(j)}, \dots, a_3^{(j)}, a_1^{(j)}$.

These properties uniquely determine the core of $\boldsymbol{S}_3$ as in Figure 28.

(In this example, the relevant sequences are as follows: $\{a_i^{(0)}\} = \{0\}$, $\{a_i^{(1)}\} = \{1, 0\}$, $\{a_i^{(2)}\} = \{2, 1\}$, $\{a_i^{(3)}\} = \{3, 2, 0\}$, $\{a_i^{(4)}\} = \{4, 3, 2, 0\}$, $\{a_i^{(5)}\} = \{5, 4\}$, and $\{a_i^{(6)}\} = \{6, 5, 3, 2, 0\}$. Thus the order of intersection in S_j is as follows: $\emptyset$ for S_0, $(q_{1,0})$ for S_1, $(q_{2,1})$ for S_2, $(q_{3,0}, q_{3,2})$ for S_3, $(q_{4,2}, q_{4,0}, q_{4,3})$ for S_4, $(q_{5,4})$ for S_5, and $(q_{6,3}, q_{6,0}, q_{6,2}, q_{6,5})$ for S_6.)



Figure 28: $c\boldsymbol{S}_3$

By the diagram in Figure 28, we can check that there is a unique desired polygon if the higher composition can be non-zero in the sense of the definition of $\mathcal{B}_{S,T}$ in subsection 4.3. Of course this example supports the Theorem 4.5.

Remark 7.15 A reader who just wants to compute by a picture, one should write the diagram as in Figure 28. The drawing procedure is as follows:

1. Compute $\{a_i^{(j)}\}_{0 \leq i \leq l_j}$.
2. Draw S_j from $j = 0$ to n which intersects only with $S_{a_i^{(j)}}$ and the order of (subscripts of subscripts) is $2, 4, 6, \ldots, l_j, \ldots, 5, 3, 1$.
3. Verify that S_j creats a $(t_l - s_l + 1)$-gon encircled by $S_{s_l}, S_{s_l+1}, \ldots, S_{t_l}$ if $j = t_l$ for some $1 \leq l \leq m$.
4. Verify that every desired polygon do exists as in the sense of the definition of $\mathcal{B}_{S,T}$ in subsection 4.3, i.e. if there exist a collection of $S_{j_0}, S_{j_1}, \ldots, S_{j_d}$ such that S_{j_l} and $S_{j_{l+1}}$ intersect, S_{j_0} and S_{j_d} intersect, and the degree of the intersection points satisfy the degree condition of higher composition maps, then there exists just one $(d + 1)$-gon which contributes the relevant μ^d.

References

[Ab08] M. Abouzaid, *On the Fukaya categories of higher genus surfaces*, Advances in Mathematics 217.3 (2008): 1192-1235.

[AKO08] D. Auroux, L. Katzarkov, D. Orlov, *Mirror symmetry for weighted projective planes and their noncommutative deformations* Annals of Mathematics 167.3 (2008): 867-943.

[BGS96] A. Beilinson, V. Ginzburg, W. Soergel, *Koszul duality patterns in representation theory*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society 9.2 (1996): 473-527.

[BCT09] A. J. Blumberg, R. L. Cohen, and C. Teleman, *Open-closed field theories, string topology, and Hochschild homology*, Contemporary Mathematics 504 (2009): 53.

[BK90] A. I. Bondal, M. M. Kapranov, *Enhanced triangulated categories* Matematicheskii Sbornik 181.5 (1990): 669-683.

[EL16] T. Etgü, Y. Lekili, *Koszul duality patterns in Floer theory*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1502.07922 (2015).

[FOOO10] K. Fukaya, Y. G. Oh, H. Ohta, K. Ono, *Lagrangian intersection Floer theory: anomaly and obstruction* (two volumes), Vol. 46.1 and Vol 46.2. American Mathematical Soc., 2010.

[GK94] V. Ginzburg, M. Kapranov, *Koszul duality for operads*, Duke mathematical journal 76.1 (1994): 203-272.

[HV00] K. Hori, C. Vafa, *Mirror symmetry*, arXiv preprint hep-th/0002222 (2000).

[Ka80] A. Kas, *On the handlebody decomposition associated to a Lefschetz fibration*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 89.1 (1980): 89-104.

[Ko94] M. Kontsevich, *Homological algebra of mirror symmetry*, In Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Zurich, 1994), pages 120-139. Birkhauser, 1995.

[LV12] J-L. Loday, and Bruno Vallette, *Algebraic operads*, Vol. 346. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

[Lö86] C. Löfwall, *On the subalgebra generated by the one-dimensional elements in the Yoneda Ext-algebra*, Algebra, algebraic topology and their interactions. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1986. 291-338.

[LPWZ04] D. M. Lu, J. H. Palmieri, Q. S. Wu, J. J. Zhang, *A_∞-algebras for ring theorists*, Algebra Colloquium 11 (2004), 91-128.

[Pr70] S. B. Priddy, *Koszul resolutions*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 152.1 (1970): 39-60.

[Se00] P. Seidel, *More about vanishing cycles and mutation*, Symplectic geometry and mirror symmetry (Seoul, 2000) (2001): 429-465.

[Se01] P. Seidel, *Vanishing cycles and mutation*, European Congress of Mathematics. Birkhäuser Basel, 2001.

[Se08] P. Seidel, *Fukaya categories and Picard-Lefschetz theory*, European Math. Soc., 2008.

[Su16] S. Sugiyama, *On the Fukaya-Seidel categories of surface Lefschetz fibrations*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.02263 (2016).

[Va07] B. Vallette, *A Koszul duality for props*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 359.10 (2007): 4865-4943.